

WebTrust® for Certification Authorities

WEBTRUST PRINCIPLES AND CRITERIA FOR CERTIFICATION AUTHORITIES - EXTENDED VALIDATION SSL

Release Date 31 March 2023

Effective Date For engagement periods commencing

on or after 1 April 2023

Version 1.8

Based on the CA/Browser Forum Guidelines for the Issuance and Management of Extended Validation SSL Certificates - Version 1.8

Copyright © 2023 by Chartered Professional Accountants of Canada ("CPA Canada"). All rights reserved. These Principles and Criteria may be reproduced and distributed provided that reproduced materials are not in any way directly or indirectly offered for sale or profit and attribution is given.

Document History

Version	Publication Date	Revision Summary
1.7.8	31 January 2022	Updated EV SSL Criteria to conform to EV SSL Guidelines v1.7.8 and other clarifications
		 Principle 2, Criteria 4.7 - Deleted as no longer contained in EV. Still required in Baseline Requirements (4.1.1)
1.8	31 January 2023	Updated EV SSL Criteria to conform to EV SSL Guidelines v1.8.0
		 Principle 2, Criteria 4.5 - updated to reflect changes in EV guidelines and removal of Appendix F of Guidelines.
		 Principle 2, Criteria 4.19 - updated to reflect changes in EV guidelines regarding Enterprise EV Certificates
		• Principle 2, Criteria 5.11 - removed

Acknowledgements

This document has been prepared by the WebTrust/PKI Assurance Task Force (the "Task Force") for use by those practitioners enrolled by CPA Canada to perform WebTrust for Certification Authorities engagements.

Members of the Task Force are:

- Timothy Crawford, BDO USA, LLP (co-Chair)
- Dan Adam
- Donoghue Clarke, Ernst & Young LLP
- Chris Czajczyc, Deloitte LLP
- Adam Fiock, BDO USA, LLP
- Eric Lin, Ernst & Young LLP
- Zain Shabbir, KPMG LLP
- Donald E. Sheehy

CPA Canada Support

- Anna-Marie Christian, Director Emerging Issues & Strategic Partnerships
- Dave Chin, Principal, WebTrust (co-Chair)
- Lilia Dubko, Manager, Assurance Programs

The Task Force would like to thank retiring long-term task force members Jeffrey Ward, BDO USA, LLP who also chaired the Task Force since 2016, and David Roque, Ernst & Young LLP for their significant contributions to the advancement of the WebTrust program during their membership on the Task Force.

Table of Contents

Document History	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
Introduction Extended validation overview Adoption and effective dates	1 1 1
References to SSL baseline requirements	2
Connection with WebTrust for CA	2
Requirements not subject to assurance	2
Principle 1: Extended Validation SSL Business Practices Disclosure	3
Principle 2: Extended Validation SSL Service Integrity	4
Key generation ceremonies	4
EV SSL subscriber and certificate content profiles	5
Subscriber profile	5
Certificate content and profile	7
EV SSL certificate request requirements	9
Subscriber agreements and terms of use	9
Information verification requirements	10
Verification of applicant's legal existence and identity	10
Verification of applicant	11
Verification of other	12
Reserved for future use	12
Verification of Contract Signer and Approver	13
Verification of EV SSL Certificate Requests	14
Validation for existing subscribers	16
Segregation of duties	16
Certificate issuance by a root CA	17
Other matters	17
Certificate Revocation and Status Checking	18
Employees and third parties	23

Data records	25
Audit and legal	26
Appendix A: CA/Browser Forum Documents	28
Appendix B: Sections of the EV SSL Guidelines not subject to assurance	29
Appendix C: Unused	31
Appendix D: CA/Browser Forum effective date differences	32
SSL baseline requirements	32
EV SSL guidelines	32

Introduction

The primary goal of the CA/Browser Forum's ("Forum") Guidelines for the Issuance and Management of Extended Validation (EV) SSL Certificates ("EV SSL Guidelines") is to enable efficient and secure electronic communication, whilst addressing user concerns about the trustworthiness of Certificates. The Guidelines also serve to inform users and help them to make informed decisions when relying on Certificates.

The CA/Browser Forum, that consists of many of the issuers of digital certificates and browser and other application developers, has developed guidelines that set out the expected requirements for issuing and managing EV SSL¹ Certificates (the "EV SSL Guidelines").

The purpose of these WebTrust Principles and Criteria for Certification Authorities – Extended Validation SSL ("Criteria") is to set out criteria that would be used as a basis for a practitioner to conduct an Extended Validation SSL engagement.

Extended validation overview

The growth of internet transactions has emphasised the importance of strong authentication of the identity of websites, domain owners, online servers, and software code. Certificates that have been issued under stronger authentication controls, processes and procedures are called Extended Validation Certificates ("EV Certificates"). EV Certificates are currently differentiated by their intended use as:

- Certificates intended to ensure the identity of a remote computer ("EV SSL Certificates"); and
- Certificates intended to ensure the identity of a software publisher and the integrity of software code ("EV Code Signing Certificates").

This document addresses EV SSL Certificates.

Browsers and software developers often provide EV Certificates with elevated status within their applications, for example, through the use of favourable user interface elements, or in some cases prohibiting the use of non-EV Certificates.

Adoption and effective dates

These Criteria incorporate and make reference to relevant CA/Browser Forum Guidelines and Requirements as listed in <u>Appendix A</u>, and are effective for engagement periods commencing on or after 1 April 2023. Early adoption is permitted and encouraged.

¹ The term SSL is used to refer to certificates intended to authenticate servers, based on the original SSL protocol which was used. Modern browser and application deployments make use of newer technologies such as TLS, and are equally in scope for these requirements.

The Forum may periodically publish updated Guidelines and Requirements. The practitioner is not required to consider these updated versions until reflected in the subsequently updated Criteria.

In certain instances, the Forum updates its Guidelines and Requirements with certain criteria only effective at a date later than the publication date. The practitioner is directed to review the document history, revisions, and relevant dates in the Forum documents to understand the applicability of certain Guidelines and Requirements.

For a list of Forum Guidelines and Requirements that have effective dates later than the effective date of these Criteria, refer to Appendix D.

References to SSL baseline requirements

In 2011, the CA/Browser Forum introduced its Baseline Requirements for the Issuance and Management of Publicly–Trusted Certificates ("Baseline Requirements", "SSL Baseline Requirements" or "BRs"). Since that time, the Forum has worked to harmonise its EV SSL Guidelines with the SSL Baseline Requirements by aligning criteria and in many instances referencing the EV SSL Guidelines directly to applicable sections in the SSL Baseline Requirements.

These Criteria include references to both the relevant sections of the EV SSL Guidelines and the SSL Baseline Requirements for each criterion as applicable, and the practitioner is directed to consider both in performing its engagement.

For the SSL Baseline Requirements, the practitioner is directed to consider the version as outlined in Appendix A.

Connection with WebTrust for CA

These Criteria are designed to be used in conjunction with an assurance engagement of a CA as required by the CA/Browser Forum. Due to significant overlap between these Criteria and the WebTrust Principles and Criteria for Certification Authorities Version 2.x or later ("WebTrust for CA" or "WTCA"), this engagement should be conducted simultaneously with the WebTrust for CA engagement.

Requirements not subject to assurance

In preparing these Criteria, the Task Force reviewed the relevant CA/Browser Forum documents as outlined in <u>Appendix A</u>, with the intent of identifying items that would not be subject to assurance. The results of this review are set out in <u>Appendix B</u>.

Principle 1: Extended Validation SSL Business Practices Disclosure

The Certification Authority (CA) discloses its Extended Validation (EV) SSL Certificate practices and procedures and its commitment to provide EV SSL Certificates in conformity with the applicable CA/Browser Forum Guidelines.

#	Criterion	Ref ²	SSL BR Ref ³
1	 The CA and its Root CA discloses⁴ on its website: EV SSL Certificate practices, policies and procedures; CAs in the hierarchy whose subject name is the same as the EV SSL issuing CA; and its commitment to conform to the latest version of the Guidelines for Issuance and Management of Extended Validation Certificates issued by the CA/Browser Forum. 	8.2.2, 8.3	N/A
2	The Certificate Authority has published guidelines for revoking EV SSL Certificates.	13	4.9
3	The CA provides instructions to Subscribers, Relying Parties, Application Software Vendors and other third parties for reporting complaints or suspected private key compromise, EV SSL Certificate misuse, or other types of fraud, compromise, misuse, or inappropriate conduct related to EV SSL Certificates to the CA.	13	4.9
4	The CA and its Root has controls to provide reasonable assurance that there is public access to the CP and/or CPS on a 24x7 basis, and the content and structure of the CP and/or CPS are in accordance with and include all material required by RFC 3647.	8.2.2	2

- 2 Reference to the applicable section(s) of the Extended Validation SSL Guidelines for this criterion. The practitioner is directed to consider the referenced section(s) as part of assessing the CA's compliance with each criterion.
- 3 Reference to the applicable section(s) of the SSL Baseline Requirements for this criterion. The practitioner is directed to consider the referenced section(s) as part of assessing the CA's compliance with each criterion.
- 4 The criteria are those in scope for the WebTrust Principles and Criteria for Certification Authorities Extended Validation SSL engagement. For an initial "readiness assessment" where there has not been a minimum of two months of operations, disclosure to the public is not required. The CA, however, must have all other aspects of the disclosure completed such that the only action remaining is to activate the disclosure so that it can be accessed by users in accordance with the EV SSL Guidelines.

Principle 2: Extended Validation SSL Service Integrity

The Certification Authority (CA) maintains effective controls to provide reasonable assurance that:

- EV SSL subscriber information was properly collected, authenticated (for the registration activities performed by the CA, Registration Authority (RA) and subcontractor) and verified;
- The integrity of keys and EV SSL certificates it manages is established and protected throughout their life cycles.

Key generation ceremonies

#	Criterion	Ref⁵	SSL BR Ref ⁶
1.1	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that Root CA and Subordinate CA Key Pairs used for EV SSL Certificates are created in accordance with SSL Baseline Requirements Section 6.1.1.1.	17.7	6.1.1.1
1.2	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that Root CA Key Pairs used for EV SSL certificates created on or after 11 November 2006 are:	17.7	N/A
	 Witnessed by the CA's Qualified Auditor; and 		
	 Receive an Unqualified Audit Opinion from the CA's Qualified Auditor opinion on the CA's root key and certificate generation process. 		

⁵ Reference to the applicable section(s) of the Extended Validation SSL Guidelines for this criterion. The auditor is directed to consider the referenced section(s) as part of assessing the CA's compliance with each criterion.

⁶ Reference to the applicable section(s) of the SSL Baseline Requirements for this criterion. The auditor is directed to consider the referenced section(s) as part of assessing the CA's compliance with each criterion.

EV SSL subscriber and certificate content profiles

Subscriber profile

#	Criterion	Ref	SSL BR Ref
2.1.1	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that it issues EV SSL Certificates to Private Organizations as defined within the EV SSL Guidelines that meet the following requirements:	8.5.2	N/A
	 the organization is a legally recognized entity whose existence was created or recognized by a filing with (or an act of) the Incorporating or Registration Agency in its Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Registration (e.g., by issuance of a certificate of incorporation registration number, etc.) or created or recognized by a Government Agency (e.g., under a charter, treaty, convention, or equivalent recognition instrument); 		
	 the entity designated with the Incorporating or Registration Agency a Registered Agent, or a Registered Office (as required under the laws of the jurisdiction of Incorporation or Registration), or an equivalent facility; 		
	 the entity is not designated as inactive, invalid, non-current or equivalent in records of the Incorporating Agency or Registration Agency; 		
	 the entity has a verifiable physical existence and business presence; 		
	 the entity's Jurisdiction of Incorporation, Registration, Charter, or License, and/or its Place of Business is not in a country where the CA is prohibited from doing business or issuing a certificate by the laws of the CA's jurisdiction; and 		
	 the entity is not listed on a published government denial list or prohibited list (e.g., trade embargo) under the laws of the CA's jurisdiction. 		

#	Criterion	Ref	SSL BR Ref
2.1.2	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that it issues EV SSL Certificates to Government Entities as defined within the EV SSL Guidelines that meet the following requirements:	8.5.3	N/A
	 the entity's legal existence was established by the political subdivision in which the entity operates; 		
	 the entity is not in a country where the CA is prohibited from doing business or issuing a certificate by the laws of the CA's jurisdiction; and 		
	 the entity is not listed on a government denial list or prohibited list (e.g., trade embargo) under the laws of the CA's jurisdiction. 		
2.1.3	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that it issues EV SSL Certificates to Business Entities as defined within the EV SSL Guidelines that meet the following requirements:	8.5.4	N/A
	 the entity is a legally recognized entity that filed certain forms with a Registration Agency in its Jurisdiction, the Registration Agency issued or approved the entity's charter, certificate, or license, and the entity's existence can be verified with that Registration Agency; 		
	 the entity has a verifiable physical existence and business presence; 		
	 at least one Principal Individual associated with the entity (owners, partners, managing members, directors or officers) is identified and validated by the CA; 		
	 the identified Principal Individual (owners, partners, managing members, directors or officers) attests to the representations made in the Subscriber agreement; 		
	 the CA verifies the entity's use of any assumed name, used to represent the entity pursuant to the requirements of Section 11.3; 		
	 the entity and the identified Principal Individual (owners, partners, managing members, directors or officers) associated with the entity are not located in a country where the CA is prohibited from doing business or issuing a certificate by the laws of the CA's jurisdiction; and 		
	 the entity and the identified Principal Individual (owners, partners, managing members, directors or officers) associated with the entity are not listed on any published government denial list or prohibited list (e.g., trade embargo) under the laws of the CA's jurisdiction. 		

#	Criterion	Ref	SSL BR Ref
2.1.4	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that it issues EV SSL Certificates to Non-Commercial Entities as defined within the EV SSL Guidelines that meet the following requirements:	8.5.5	N/A
	 the Applicant is an International Organization Entity, created under a charter, treaty, convention or equivalent instrument that was signed by, or on behalf of, more than one country's government; 		
	 the Applicant is not headquartered in any country where the CA is prohibited from doing business or issuing a certificate by the laws of the CA's jurisdiction; and 		
	 the Applicant is not listed on any government denial list or prohibited list (e.g., trade embargo) under the laws of the CA's jurisdiction. 		

Certificate content and profile

#	Criterion	Ref	SSL BR Ref
2.2.1	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that EV SSL Certificates issued meet the minimum requirements for Certificate Content and Profile, including additional technical requirements as specifically established in section 9 of the EV SSL Guidelines, including the following:	9, 9.1, 9.2 11.1.3	7.1.4.1, 7.1.4.2
	Issuer Common Name Field		
	Issuer Domain Component Field		
	Issuer Organization Name Field		
	Issuer Country Name Field		
	Full legal organization name		
	Subject Alternative Name Extension		
	Subject Common Name Field		
	Subject Business Category Field		
	 Subject Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Registration Field 		
	Subject Registration Number Field		

#	Criterion	Ref	SSL BR Ref
2.2.1	 (continued) Subject Physical Address of Place of Business Field Subject Organization Identifier Field Other Subject Attributes 		
2.2.2	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that EV SSL Certificates issued include the minimum requirements for the content of EV SSL Certificates, including: Certificate Policy Identification requirements Subscriber Public Key Certificate Serial Number Additional Technical Requirements for EV Certificates as established in the EV SSL Guidelines relating to: EV SSL Subscriber Certificates EV Subordinate CA Certificates	9.3.2, 9.3.4, 9.3.5, 9.5, 9.6, 9.7	6.1.1.3, 6.1.5, 7.1
2.2.3	 The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that EV SSL Subscriber Certificates are valid for a period not exceeding the maximum allowed period in Section 9.4 of the EV SSL Guidelines. 	9.4	N/A
2.2.4	 The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that the data that supports the EV SSL Certificates is revalidated within the timeframes established in the EV SSL Guidelines. 	11.14	N/A

EV SSL certificate request requirements

#	Criterion	Ref	SSL BR Ref
3.1	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that the EV SSL Certificate Request is:	10, 10.2	4.1.2
	 obtained and complete prior to the issuance of EV SSL Certificates; 		
	 signed by an authorized individual (Certificate Requester); 		
	 approved by an authorized individual (Certificate Approver); 		
	 properly certified as to being correct by the applicant; and 		
	 contains the information specified in Section 10 of the EV SSL Guidelines. 		

Subscriber agreements and terms of use

#	Criterion	Ref	SSL BR Ref
3.2	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that the CA, prior to the issuance of an EV SSL Certificate, obtains a Subscriber and/or Terms of Use agreement in accordance with the EV SSL Guidelines. That agreement is:	10.3	9.6.3
	 signed by an authorized Contract Signer; and 		
	 contains provisions imposing obligations and warranties on the Application relating to: 		
	 the accuracy of information 		
	protection of Private Key Accountages of the FV SSI contificate		
	 acceptance of the EV SSL certificate use of the EV SSL certificate 		
	 reporting and revocation upon compromise 		
	 termination of use of the EV SSL certificate 		
	responsiveness		
	 acknowledgement and acceptance. 		

Information verification requirements

Verification of applicant's legal existence and identity

			SSL BR
#	Criterion	Ref	Ref
4.1	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that	11.1,	N/A
	the following information provided by the Applicant is verified directly by performing the steps established by the EV SSL	11.2,	
	Guidelines:	11.3,	
	For Private Organization Subjects:	11.12.3	
	legal existence and identity		
	 legal existence and identity – assumed name 		
	organization name		
	registration number		
	registered agent		
	 relationship to the parent, subsidiary, or affiliate (if applicable) 		
	For Government Entities:		
	legal existence		
	entity name		
	registration number		
	For Business Entities:		
	legal existence		
	organization name		
	registration number		
	principal individual		
	 relationship to the parent, subsidiary, or affiliate (if applicable) 		
	For Non-Commercial Entities:		
	International Organization Entities		
	legal entitiesentity name		
	registration number		

Verification of applicant

#	Criterion	Ref	SSL BR Ref
4.2	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that it verifies the physical address provided by Applicant is an address where Applicant or a Parent/Subsidiary Company conducts business operations (e.g., not a mail drop or P.O. box, or 'care of' C/O address, such as an address of an agent of the Organization) and is the address of Applicant's Place of Business using a method of verification established by the EV SSL Guidelines.	11.4.1	N/A
4.3	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that it verifies a telephone number, fax number, email address, or postal delivery address as a Verified Method of Communication with the Applicant by performing the steps set out in the EV SSL Guidelines.	11.5.1	N/A
4.4	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that it verifies the Applicant has the ability to engage in business by verifying the Applicant's, or Affiliate/Parent/Subsidiary Company's, operational existence by:	11.6	N/A
	 verifying that the Applicant, Affiliate, Parent Company, or Subsidiary Company has been in existence for at least three years, as indicated by the records of an Incorporating Agency or Registration Agency; 		
	 verifying that the Applicant, Affiliate, Parent Company, or Subsidiary Company is listed in either a current QIIS or QTIS; 		
	 verifying that the Applicant, Affiliate, Parent Company, or Subsidiary Company has an active current Demand Deposit Account with a Regulated Financial Institution by receiving authenticated documentation of the Applicant's, Affiliate's, Parent Company's, or Subsidiary Company's Demand Deposit Account directly from a Regulated Financial Institution; or 		
	 relying on a Verified Legal Opinion or a Verified Accountant Letter to the effect that the Applicant has an active current Demand Deposit Account with a Regulated Financial Institution. 		

#	Criterion	Ref	SSL BR Ref
4.5	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that for each Fully-Qualified Domain Name listed in a Certificate, which is not an Onion Domain Name, as of the date the Certificate was issued, the Applicant (or the Applicant's Parent Company, Subsidiary Company, or Affiliate, collectively referred to as "Applicant") either is the Domain Name Registrant or has control over the FQDN by using a procedure specified in Section 3.2.2.4 of the SSL Baseline Requirements.	11.7.1	3.2.2.4
4.6	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that for a Certificate issued to an Onion Domain Name, the CA confirms that, as of the date the Certificate was issued, the Applicant's control over the Onion Domain Name is in accordance with Appendix B of the Baseline Requirements.		Арр. В

Verification of other

Reserved for future use

#	Criterion	Ref	SSL BR Ref
4.8	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that it identifies "High Risk Applicants" and undertakes additional precautions as are reasonably necessary to ensure that such Applicants are properly verified using a verification method below:	11.12.1	4.2.1
	 the CA identifies high risk requests by checking appropriate lists of organization names that are most commonly targeted in phishing and other fraudulent schemes, and by automatically flagging certificate requests that match these lists for further scrutiny before issuance; and 		
	 the CA shall use information identified by the CA's high-risk criteria to flag suspicious certificate requests. The CA shall follow a documented procedure for performing additional verification of any certificate request flagged as suspicious or high risk. 		

#	Criterion	Ref	SSL BR Ref
4.9	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that no EV SSL Certificate is issued if the Applicant, the Contract Signer, the Certificate Approver or the Applicant's Jurisdiction of Incorporation, Registration, or place of Business is:	11.12.2	N/A
	 on any government denied list, list of prohibited persons, or other list that prohibits doing business with such organization or person under the laws of the country of the CA's jurisdiction(s) of operation; or 		
	 has its Jurisdiction of Incorporation, or Registration, or Place of Business in any country with which the laws of the CA's jurisdiction prohibit doing business. 		

Verification of Contract Signer and Approver

#	Criterion	Ref	SSL BR Ref
4.10	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that it verifies, using a method of verification established by the EV SSL Guidelines:	11.8	N/A
	 the name and title of the Contract Signer and the Certificate Approver, as applicable and verifying that the Contract Signer and the Certificate Approver are agents representing the Applicant; 		
	 through a source other than the Contract Signer, that the Contract Signer is expressly authorized by the Applicant to enter into the Subscriber Agreement (and any other relevant contractual obligations) on behalf of the Applicant, including a contract that designates one or more Certificate Approvers on behalf of Applicant ("Signing Authority"); 		
	 through a source other than the Certificate Approver, that the Certificate Approver is expressly authorized by the Applicant to do the following, as of the date of the EV SSL Certificate Request ("EV Authority") to: 		
	 submit, and if applicable authorize a Certificate Requester to submit, the EV SSL Certificate Request on behalf of the Applicant; 		

#	Criterion	Ref	SSL BR Ref
4.10	 (continued) provide, and if applicable authorize a Certificate Requester to provide, the information requested from the Applicant by the CA for issuance of the EV SSL Certificate; and approve EV SSL Certificate Requests submitted by a Certificate Requester. 	11.8	N/A

Verification of EV SSL Certificate Requests

#	Criterion	Ref	SSL BR Ref
4.11	 The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance, using a method of verification established in the EV SSL Guidelines that: subscriber Agreements are signed by an authorized Contract Signer; the EV SSL Certificate Request is signed by the Certificate 	11.9, 11.10	N/A
	 Requester submitting the document; if the Certificate Requester is not also an authorized Certificate Approver, an authorized Certificate Approver independently approves the EV SSL Certificate Request unless pre-authorized; and signatures have been properly authenticated. 		
4.12	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that in cases where an EV SSL Certificate Request is submitted by a Certificate Requester, before it issues the requested EV SSL Certificate, it verifies that an authorized Certificate Approver reviewed and approved the EV SSL Certificate Request.	11.10	N/A

#	Criterion	Ref	SSL BR Ref
4.13	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that it verifies information sources prior to placing reliance on them using a verification procedure set out in the EV SSL Guidelines. The verification includes:	11.11, 11.11.5, 11.11.6, 11.11.7, 11.14	N/A
	 with respect to legal opinions the independent status of the author, the basis of the opinion, and authenticity. 		
	 with respect to accountants letters the status of the author, the basis of the opinion, and authenticity. 		
	 with respect to face-to-face vetting documents qualification of third-party validator, document chain of custody, and verification of attestation. 		
	 with respect to independent confirmation from applicant the request is initiated by the CA requesting verification of particular facts, the request is directed to a Confirming Person at the 		
	Applicant or at the Applicant's Registered Agent or Registered Office using one of the acceptable methods stated by the CA/Browser Forum, and — the Confirming Person confirms the fact or issue.		
	 with respect to Qualified Independent Information Sources (QIIS) 		
	 the database used is a QIIS as defined by the EV SSL Guidelines 11.11.5, 		
	 the CA follows a documented process to check the accuracy of the database and ensure its data is acceptable, including reviewing the database provider's terms of use, and 		
	 the CA does not use any data in a QIIS that the CA knows is (i) self-reported and (ii) not verified by the QIIS as accurate. 		
	 with respect to Qualified Government Information Sources (QGIS) the database used is a QGIS as defined by the EV SSL 		
	Guidelines 11.11.6.		

#	Criterion	Ref	SSL BR Ref
4.13	(continued)		
	 with respect to Qualified Government Tax Information Source (QGTIS) a Qualified Governmental information source is used that specifically contains tax information relating to Private Organizations, Business Entities or Individuals as defined by the EV SSL Guidelines 11.11.7. 		
	 Effective 1 October 2020, the CA shall disclose acceptable values for Incorporating Agency or Registration Agency and disclose acceptable format for Registration Numbers for the applicable Incorporating Agency or Registration Agency. 		

Validation for existing subscribers

#	Criterion	Ref	SSL BR Ref
4.14	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that in conjunction with an EV SSL Certificate Request placed by an Applicant who is already a customer of the CA, the CA performs	11.14,	N/A
		11.14.1,	
	all authentication and verification tasks required by these Guidelines to ensure that the request is properly authorized by the Applicant and that the information in the EV SSL Certificate will still be accurate and valid, subject to any exceptions as outlined in Section 11.14.1 and re-issuance requests in Section 11.14.2.	11.14.2	

Segregation of duties

#	Criterion	Ref	SSL BR Ref
4.15	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that ensure the system used to process and approve EV SSL Certificate Requests requires actions by at least two trusted persons before the EV SSL Certificate is created.	16	N/A

#	Criterion	Ref	SSL BR Ref
4.16	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that there is a separation of duties such that no one person can both validate and authorise the issuance of an EV SSL Certificate.	14.1.3	N/A

Certificate issuance by a root CA

#	Criterion	Ref	SSL BR Ref
4.17	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that certificate issuance by the Root CA shall require an individual authorized by the CA (i.e., the CA system operator, system officer, or PKI administrator) to deliberately issue a direct command in order for the Root CA to perform a certificate signing operation.	12	4.3.1
4.18	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that Root CA Private Keys are not used to sign EV SSL certificates.	12	N/A

Other matters

#	Criterion	Ref	SSL BR Ref
4.19	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that:	11.13,	N/A
	 the set of information gathered to support a certificate 	14.1.2,	
	request is reviewed for completeness and accuracy by an individual who did not gather such information;	14.1.3,	
	any identified discrepancies are documented and resolved	17.5,	
	before certificate issuance; and	17.6	

#	Criterion	Ref	SSL BR Ref

4.19 *(continued)*

- in the case where some or all of the documentation used to support the application is in a language other than the CA's normal operating language, the Final Cross-Correlation and Due Diligence is performed by employees under its control having appropriate training, experience, and judgment in confirming organizational identification and authorization and fulfilling all qualification requirements contained in Section 14.1. When employees do not possess the language skills necessary to perform the Final Cross-Correlation and Due Diligence a CA:
 - shall rely on the translations by a Translator or,
 - if an RA is used, the CA must review the work completed by the RA and determine that all requirements have been met; or
 - The CA shall rely on the RA to perform the Final Cross-Correlation and Due Diligence, provided that the RA complies with its requirements and is subjected to the Audit Requirements of Sections 17.5 and 17.6 as specified in the EV SSL Guidelines.

Certificate Revocation and Status Checking

#	Criterion	Ref	SSL BR Ref
5.1	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that a process is available 24x7 that the CA is able to accept and respond to revocation requests and related inquiries, and that the CA provides a process for Subscribers to request revocation of their own certificates.	13	4.9.3

#	Criterion	Ref	SSL BR
5.2	 The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that it: has the capability to accept and acknowledge Certificate Problem Reports on a 24x7 basis; identifies high priority Certificate Problem Reports; begin investigation of Certificate Problem Reports within 24 hours and provide a preliminary report on its findings to both the Subscriber and the entity who filed the Certificate Problem Report; decides whether revocation or other appropriate action is warranted; 	13	4.9.3, 4.9.5, 4.10.2
	 if revocation is deemed the appropriate action, the elapsed time from receipt of the Certificate Problem Report or revocation request and revocation status information does not exceed the timelines in SSL Baseline Requirements 4.9.1.1; and where appropriate, forwards such complaints to law enforcement. 		
5.3	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that Subscriber Certificates are revoked within 24 hours if any of the following events occurs:	13	4.9.1.2, 6.1.5,
	 The Subscriber requests in writing that the CA revoke the Certificate; 		6.1.6
	The Subscriber notifies the CA that the original certificate request was not authorized and does not retroactively grant authorization;		
	 The CA obtains evidence that the Subscriber's Private Key corresponding to the Public Key in the Certificate suffered a Key Compromise; or 		
	 The CA obtains evidence that the validation of domain authorization or control for any Fully-Qualified Domain Name or IP address in the Certificate should not be relied upon. 		
	And, Subscriber Certificates are revoked within 5 days if any of the following events occurs:		
	 The Certificate no longer complies with the requirements of SSL Baseline Requirements Sections 6.1.5 and 6.1.6; 		
	2. The CA obtains evidence that the Certificate was misused;		

Criterion SSL BR Ref

5.3 *(continued)*

- The CA is made aware that a Subscriber has violated one or more of its material obligations under the Subscriber Agreement or Terms of Use;
- 4. The CA is made aware of any circumstance indicating that use of a Fully-Qualified Domain Name or IP address in the Certificate is no longer legally permitted (e.g., a court or arbitrator has revoked a Domain Name Registrant's right to use the Domain Name, a relevant licensing or services agreement between the Domain Name Registrant and the Applicant has terminated, or the Domain Name Registrant has failed to renew the Domain Name);
- The CA is made aware that a Wildcard Certificate has been used to authenticate a fraudulently misleading subordinate Fully-Qualified Domain Name;
- 6. The CA is made aware of a material change in the information contained in the Certificate;
- 7. The CA is made aware that the Certificate was not issued in accordance with these Requirements or the CA's Certificate Policy or Certification Practice Statement;
- 8. The CA determines that any of the information appearing in the Certificate is inaccurate;
- The CA's right to issue Certificates under these Requirements expires or is revoked or terminated, unless the CA has made arrangements to continue maintaining the CRL/OCSP Repository;
- 10. Revocation is required by the CA's Certificate Policy and/or Certification Practice Statement; or
- 11. The CA is made aware of a demonstrated or proven method that exposes the Subscriber's Private Key to compromise, methods have been developed that can easily calculate it based on the Public Key (such as a Debian weak key, see http://wiki.debian.org/SSLkeys), or if there is clear evidence that the specific method used to generate the Private Key was flawed.

#	Criterion	Ref	SSL BR Ref
5.4	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that Subordinate CA Certificates are revoked within 7 days if any of the following events occurs:	13	4.9.1.2, 6.1.5,
	1. The Subordinate CA requests revocation in writing;		6.1.6
	The Subordinate CA notifies the Issuing CA that the original certificate request was not authorized and does not retroactively grant authorization;		
	 The Issuing CA obtains evidence that the Subordinate CA's Private Key corresponding to the Public Key in the Certificate suffered a Key Compromise or no longer complies with the requirements of SSL Baseline Requirements Sections 6.1.5 and 6.1.6; 		
	 The Issuing CA obtains evidence that the Certificate was misused; 		
	 The Issuing CA is made aware that the Certificate was not issued in accordance with or that Subordinate CA has not complied with these Baseline Requirements or the applicable Certificate Policy or Certification Practice Statement; 		
	The Issuing CA determines that any of the information appearing in the Certificate is inaccurate or misleading;		
	 The Issuing CA or Subordinate CA ceases operations for any reason and has not made arrangements for another CA to provide revocation support for the Certificate; 		
	 The Issuing CA's or Subordinate CA's right to issue Certificates under these Requirements expires or is revoked or terminated, unless the Issuing CA has made arrangements to continue maintaining the CRL/OCSP Repository; or 		
	Revocation is required by the Issuing CA's Certificate Policy and/or Certification Practice Statement.		
5.5	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that the CA:	13	7.1.2,
	 makes revocation information available via the cRLDistributionPoints and/or authorityInformationAccess certificate extensions for Subordinate CA and Subscriber Certificates in accordance with the SSL Baseline Requirements Section 7.1.2; and 		4.9.11
	 for high-traffic FQDNs, distributes its OCSP responses in accordance with SSL Baseline Requirements. 		

#	Criterion	Ref	SSL BR Ref
5.6	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that an online 24x7 Repository is provided that application software can use to automatically check the current status of all unexpired Certificates issued by the CA, and:	13	4.10.2, 4.9.7, 4.9.10
	 for the status of Subscriber Certificates: If the CA publishes a CRL, then the CA shall update and reissue CRLs at least once every seven (7) days, and the value of the nextUpdate field must not be more than ten (10) days beyond the value of the thisUpdate field; and The CA shall update information provided via an Online Certificate Status Protocol (OCSP) at least every four (4) days and OCSP responses must have a maximum expiration time of ten (10) days. 		
	 for the status of subordinate CA Certificates; The CA shall update and reissue CRLs at least (i) once every twelve (12) months and (ii) within 24 hours after revoking a Subordinate CA Certificate, and the value of the nextUpdate field must not be more than twelve months beyond the value of the thisUpdate field; and The CA shall update information provided via an Online Certificate Status Protocol at least (i) every twelve (12) months and (ii) within 24 hours after revoking a Subordinate CA Certificate. The CA makes revocation information available through an 		
	OCSP capability using the GET method for Certificates issued in accordance with the SSL Baseline Requirements.		
5.7	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that the CA operates and maintains its CRL and OCSP capability with resources sufficient to provide a response time of ten seconds or less under normal operating conditions.	13	4.10.2
5.8	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that the CA does not remove revocation entries on a CRL or OCSP Response until after the Expiry Date of the revoked Certificate.	13	4.10.1

#	Criterion	Ref	SSL BR Ref
5.9	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that OCSP responses conform to RFC6960 and/or RFC5019, and are signed either:	13	4.9.9
	 by the CA that issued the Certificates whose revocation status is being checked, or 		
	 by an OCSP Responder whose Certificate is signed by the CA that issued the Certificate whose revocation status is being checked (the OCSP signing Certificate must contain an extension of type id-pkix-ocsp-nocheck, as defined by RFC6960). 		
5.10	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that OCSP responses by CA's which have not been technically constrained in accordance with SSL Baseline Requirements Section 7.1.5 do not respond with a "good" status for Certificates that have not been issued.	13	4.9.10
	Reserved for future use.	N/A	N/A

Employees and third parties

#	Criterion	Ref	SSL BR Ref
6.1	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that with respect to employees, agents, or independent contractors engaged in the EV process, the CA:	14.1.1	N/A
	 verifies the identity of each person; 		
	 performs background checks of such person to confirm employment, checks personal references, confirms the highest or most relevant educational degree obtained and searches criminal records where allowed in the jurisdiction where the person will be employed; and 		
	 for employees at the time of the adoption of the EV SSL Guidelines by the CA, verifies the identity and perform background checks within three months of the date of the adoption of the EV SSL Guidelines. 		

#	Criterion	Ref	SSL BR Ref
6.2	 The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that: the CA provides all personnel performing information verification duties (Validation Specialists) with skills-training that covers basic Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) knowledge, authentication and vetting policies and procedures (including the CA's Certificate Policy and/or Certification Practice Statement), common threats to the information verification process (including phishing and other social engineering tactics), and these Requirements; 	14.1.2	5.3.3, 5.3.4
	 the CA maintains records of such training and ensures that personnel entrusted with Validation Specialist duties maintain a skill level that enables them to perform such duties satisfactorily; 		
	 the CA documents each Validation Specialist possesses the skills required by a task before allowing the Validation Specialist to perform that task; 		
	 the CA requires all Validation Specialists to pass an examination provided by the CA on the information verification requirements outlined in the Baseline Requirements; and 		
	 all personnel in Trusted Roles maintain skill levels consistent with the CA's training and performance programs. 		
6.3	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that Delegated Third Parties meet the qualification requirements of Section 14.1 of the EV SSL Guidelines.	14.2.1, 14.1	N/A
6.4	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that the CA verifies that the Delegated Third Party's personnel involved in the issuance of a Certificate meet the training and skills requirements of Section 14 (SSL Baseline 5.3.3) and the document retention and event logging requirements of Section 15 (SSL Baseline 5.4.1).	14.2.1	5.3.7, 5.3.3, 5.4.1
6.5	For High-Risk Certificate Requests, the CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that the CA verifies that the Delegated Third Party's processes to identify and further verify High-Risk Certificate Requests meet the requirements of the CA's own processes for High-Risk Certificate Requests.	14.1.2	4.2.1

Data records

#	Criterion	Ref	SSL BR Ref
7.1	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that the CA records details of the actions taken to process a certificate request and to issue a Certificate, including all information generated and documentation received in connection with the certificate request; the time and date; and the personnel involved.	15	5.4.1
7.2	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that the following events are recorded:	15	5.4.1
	CA key lifecycle management events, including:		
	 key generation, backup, storage, recovery, archival, and destruction 		
	 cryptographic device lifecycle management events. 		
	 CA and Subscriber Certificate lifecycle management events, including: 		
	 Certificate Requests, renewal and re-key requests, and revocation 		
	 all verification activities stipulated in the Baseline Requirements and the CA's Certification Practice Statement 		
	 date, time, phone number used, persons spoken to, and end results of verification telephone calls 		
	 acceptance and rejection of certificate requests 		
	issuance of Certificates		
	 generation of Certificate Revocation Lists (CRLs) and OCSP entries. 		
	security events, including:		
	 successful and unsuccessful PKI system access attempts 		
	PKI and security system actions performed		
	security profile changes System explose headyways failures, and other anomalies.		
	 system crashes, hardware failures, and other anomalies firewall and router activities 		
	 entries to and exits from CA facility. 		
	Log entries must include the following elements:		
	 Date and time of entry 		
	 Identity of the person making the journal entry 		
	 Description of entry 		

#	Criterion	Ref	SSL BR Ref
7.3	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that audit logs are retained for at least seven years.	15	5.4.3
7.4	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that all documentation relating to certificate requests and the verification thereof, and all Certificates and revocation thereof, is retained for at least seven years after any Certificate based on that documentation ceases to be valid.	15	5.5.2

Audit and legal

#	Criterion	Ref	SSL BR Ref
8.1	 The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that: it performs ongoing self-assessments on at least a quarterly basis against a randomly selected sample of at least three percent (3%) of the EV SSL Certificates issued during the period commencing immediately after the previous self-assessment samples were taken. For all EV SSL Certificates where the final Cross-Correlation and Due Diligence requirements of Section 11.13 are performed by a Delegated Third Party, the sample size is increased to at least six percent (6%); and 	17.5	N/A
	 The CA reviews each Delegated Third Party's practices and procedures to assess that the Delegated Third Party is in compliance with these Requirements and the relevant Certificate Policy and/or Certification Practice Statement. 		

#	Criterion	Ref	SSL BR Ref
8.2	 The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that: applicable requirements of the CA/Browser Forum Guidelines for Extended Validation Certificates are included (directly or by reference) in contracts with subordinate CAs, RAs, Enterprise RAs, and subcontractors that involve or relate to the issuance or maintenance of EV SSL Certificates, and that they are contractually obligated to comply with the applicable requirements in the EV SSL Guidelines and to perform them as required of the CA itself; 	8.3, 14.2, 14.2.3	N/A
	 the CA monitors and enforces compliance with the terms of the contracts; and the CA annually internally audits compliance with the EV SSL Guidelines. 		
8.3	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that it complies with:	8.1	8.0
	 laws applicable to its business and the certificates it issues in each jurisdiction where it operates, and 		
	 licensing requirements in each jurisdiction where it issues EV SSL certificates. 		
8.4	The CA maintains controls and procedures to provide reasonable assurance that:	8.4	N/A
	 the CA and Root CA maintain the minimum levels of Commercial General Liability Insurance (occurrence form) and Professional Liability/Errors & Omissions insurance as established by the EV SSL Guidelines, and 		
	 the providers of the Insurance coverage meet the ratings qualifications established under the EV SSL Guidelines, or 		
	 If the CA and/or its root CA self-insures for liabilities, the CA and/or its root CA maintains the minimum liquid asset size requirement established in the EV SSL Guidelines. 		

Appendix A: CA/Browser Forum Documents

These Criteria are based on the following CA/Browser Forum Documents:

Document Name	Version	Effective Date
Guidelines for the Issuance and Management of Extended Validation SSL Certificates	1.8	30 November 2022
Baseline Requirements for the Issuance and Management of Publicly-Trusted Certificates	1.8.6	14 December 2022

Appendix B: Sections of the EV SSL Guidelines not subject to assurance

Sections of the EV SSL Guidelines which contain no content or the phrase 'No Stipulation' were not considered. Additionally, the following items are not subject to assurance:

Ref	Topic	Reasons for exclusion
1	Scope	Information only
2	Purpose	Information only
3	References	Information only
4	Definitions	The practitioner is directed to consider these definitions when interpreting the EV SSL Guidelines and these audit criteria.
5	Abbreviations and Acronyms	Information only
6	Conventions	Information only
7	Certificate Warranties and Representations	Legal item
16	Data Security	References to SSL Baseline Section 5 are addressed in WebTrust Principles and Criteria - SSL Baseline with Network Security, Principles 3 and 4, and are not subject to assurance in these criteria.
17 (except 17.5, 17.7)	Audit	Information only
18	Liability and Indemnification	Legal item
Арр. В	Sample Attorney Opinions Confirming Specified Information	Information only
Арр. С	Sample Accountant Letters Confirming Specified Information	Information only

Ref	Topic	Reasons for exclusion
Арр. D	Country-Specific Interpretative Guidelines	The practitioner is directed to consider details surrounding non-Latin organisation names, Romanised names, translated names, and country-specific requirements as applicable.
Арр. Е	Sample Contract Signer's Representation/Warranty	Information only

APPENDIX C: UNUSED 31

Appendix C: Unused This section is currently unused.

Appendix D: CA/Browser Forum effective date differences

SSL baseline requirements

The following Baseline Requirements have effective dates later than the effective date of these Criteria. Refer to details and instructions below for guidance on how to address these as part of an audit:

No differences.

EV SSL guidelines

No differences.