# WEBTRUST® FOR CERTIFICATION AUTHORITIES

# WEBTRUST PRINCIPLES AND CRITERIA FOR CERTIFICATION AUTHORITIES – Code Signing Baseline Requirements

Version 2.0

**Release Date:** 

1 November 2020

**Effective Date:** 

For engagement periods commencing on or after 1 November 2020

Based on the Baseline Requirements for the Issuance and Management of Publicly-Trusted Code Signing Certificates – Version 2.0

# **Document History**

Version	Publication Date	Revision Summary
1.0	1 February 2017	Initial release
1.0.1	1 October 2017	Removed Principle 2, Criterion 5.11 as it was determined not to be subject to assurance, and clarified Principle 2, Criterion 3.2 with regards to the signing of Subscriber Agreements
2.0	1 November 2020	Revised to address Version 2.0 of the Baseline Requirements for the Issuance and Management of Publicly-Trusted Code Signing Certificates

# Acknowledgements

This document has been prepared by the WebTrust/PKI Assurance Task Force (the "Task Force") for use by those practitioners enrolled by CPA Canada to perform WebTrust for Certification Authorities engagements.

#### Members of the Task Force are:

- Jeffrey Ward, BDO USA, LLP (Chair)
- Donald E. Sheehy (Vice-Chair)
- Chris Czajczyc, Deloitte LLP
- David Roque, Ernst & Young LLP
- Zain Shabbir, KPMG LLP

#### Significant support has been provided by:

- Timothy Crawford, BDO USA, LLP
- Daniel J. Adam, Deloitte & Touche LLP
- Donoghue Clarke, Ernst & Young LLP
- Eric Lin, Ernst & Young LLP

#### **CPA Canada Support**

- Kaylynn Pippo, Principal, Research, Guidance and Support (Staff Contact)
- Bryan Walker, Consultant
- Janet Treasure, Vice President, Member Development and Support
- Gord Beal, Vice President, Research, Guidance and Support

# **Table of Contents**

Document History	1
Acknowledgements	2
Introduction	4
Information about Code Signing Certificates	4
Adoption and Effective Dates	4
Extended Validation Overview	4
References to SSL Baseline Requirements	5
Connection with WebTrust for CA	5
Requirements not subject to assurance	5
Principle 1: Code Signing Business Practices Disclosure	6
Principle 2: Code Signing Service Integrity	7
Principle 3: Extended Validation Code Signing Service Integrity	20
Appendix A: CA/Browser Forum Documents	40
Appendix B: Sections of the CS BRs not subject to assurance	41
Appendix C: Unused	42
Appendix D: Effective date differences	43
CS BRs	43
SSL Baseline Requirements	43

### Introduction

The primary goal of the CA/Browser Forum ("CA/B Forum" or the "Forum") Baseline Requirements for the Issuance and Management of Publicly-Trusted Code Signing Certificates ("CS BRs") is to enable efficient and secure electronic communication, whilst addressing user concerns about the trustworthiness of Code Signing Certificates ("CS Certificates"). The Guidelines also serve to inform users and help them to make informed decisions when relying on Certificates.

The purpose of these WebTrust Principles and Criteria for Certification Authorities –Code Signing Baseline Requirements ("Criteria") is to set out criteria that would be used as a basis for a practitioner to conduct an engagement on the Issuance and Management of Publicly Trusted Code Signing Certificates.

## **Information about Code Signing Certificates**

A Code Signature created by a Subscriber may be considered valid for a period not exceeding 39 months. However, the life of a Code Signature may be extended for up to 135 months by using either:

- a) Timestamp Method: In this method, the Subscriber signs the code, appends its Code Signing Certificate (whose expiration time does not exceed 39 months in the future) and submits it to a Timestamp Authority to be time-stamped. The resulting package can be considered valid up to the expiration time of the timestamp certificate (that may be up to 135 months in the future); or
- b) Signing Service Method: In this method, the Subscriber submits the code, or a digest of the code, to a Signing Service for Code Signature. The resulting Code Signature is valid up to the expiration time of the Signing Service certificate (that may be up to 39 months in the future).

# **Adoption and Effective Dates**

These Criteria incorporate and make reference to relevant Guidelines and Requirements from the CA/B Forum as listed in Appendix A and are effective for engagement periods commencing on or after 1 November 2020.

The CA/B Forum and/or the Forum may periodically publish updated Guidelines and Requirements. The practitioner is not required to consider these updated versions until reflected in the updated Criteria.

In certain instances, the CA/B Forum and/or the Forum updates its Guidelines and Requirements with certain criteria only effective at a date later than the publication date. The practitioner is directed to review the document history, revisions, and relevant dates in the Forum documents to understand the applicability of certain Guidelines and Requirements.

For a list of Guidelines and Requirements that have effective dates later than the effective date of these Criteria, refer to Appendix D.

#### **Extended Validation Overview**

The growth of internet transactions has emphasised the importance of strong authentication of the identity of websites, domain owners, online servers, and software code. Certificates that have been issued under stronger authentication controls, processes and procedures are called Extended Validation Certificates ("EV Certificates"). EV Certificates are currently differentiated by their intended use as:

Certificates intended to ensure the identity of a remote computer ("EV SSL Certificates"); and

• Certificates intended to ensure the identity of a software publisher and the integrity of software code ("EV Code Signing Certificates").

This document also addresses EV Code Signing Certificates as Principle 3.

Browsers and software developers often provide EV Certificates with elevated status within their applications, for example, through the use of favourable user interface elements, or in some cases prohibiting the use of non-EV Certificates.

## References to SSL Baseline Requirements

In 2011, the CA/Browser Forum introduced its Baseline Requirements for the Issuance and Management of Publicly-Trusted Certificates ("Baseline Requirements", "SSL Baseline Requirements" or "BRs").

These Criteria include references to both the relevant sections of the CS BRs and the SSL Baseline Requirements for each criterion as applicable, and the practitioner is directed to consider both of these in performing its engagement.

For the CS BRs and the SSL Baseline Requirements, the practitioner is directed to consider the version as outlined in Appendix A.

#### Connection with WebTrust for CA

These Criteria are designed to be used in conjunction with an assurance engagement of a CA as required by the CA/Browser Forum. Due to significant overlap between these Criteria and the WebTrust Principles and Criteria for Certification Authorities Version 2.x or later ("WebTrust for CA" or "WTCA"), this engagement should be conducted simultaneously with the WebTrust for CA engagement.

# Requirements not subject to assurance

In preparing these Criteria, the Task Force reviewed the relevant documents as outlined in <u>Appendix A</u>, with the intent of identifying items that would not be subject to the engagement. The results of this review are set out in <u>Appendix B</u>.

# Principle 1: Code Signing Business Practices Disclosure

The Certification Authority (CA) discloses its Code Signing Certificate practices and procedures and its commitment to provide CS Certificates in conformity with the applicable Baseline Requirements for the Issuance and Management of Publicly-Trusted Code Signing Certificates.

#	Criterion	CS BR Ref <sup>1</sup>	SSL BR Ref <sup>2</sup>
1	<ul> <li>The CA and its Root CA discloses 3 on its website:         <ul> <li>CS Certificate practices, policies and procedures;</li> <li>Cross Certificates that identify the CA as the Subject, provided that the CA arranged for or accepted the establishment of the trust relationship (i.e. the Cross Certificate at issue);</li> <li>CAs in the hierarchy whose subject name is the same as the CS issuing CA; and</li> <li>its commitment to conform to the latest version of the Baseline Requirements for the Issuance and Management of Publicly-Trusted Code Signing Certificates issued by the CA/Browser Forum</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	8.2.2, 8.4	N/A
2	The Certificate Authority has published guidelines for revoking CS Certificates	13	4.9
3	The CA provides instructions on its website to Anti-Malware Organization, Subscribers, Relying Parties, Application Software Vendors and other third parties for reporting complaints or suspected private key compromise, CS Certificate misuse, Certificates used to sign Suspect Code, Takeover Attacks or other types of fraud, compromise, misuse, or inappropriate conduct related to CS Certificates to the CA.	13	4.9
4	The CA and its Root has controls to provide reasonable assurance that there is public access to the CP and/or CPS on a 24x7 basis, and the content and structure of the CP and/or CPS are in accordance with RFC 3647.	8.2.2	N/A

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Reference to the applicable section(s) of the Baseline Requirements for the Issuance and Management of Publicly-Trusted Code Signing Certificates for this criterion. The practitioner is directed to consider the referenced section(s) as part of assessing the CA's compliance with each criterion.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Reference to the applicable section(s) of the SSL Baseline Requirements for this criterion. The practitioner is directed to consider the referenced section(s) as part of assessing the CA's compliance with each criterion.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The criteria are those in scope for WebTrust Principles and Criteria for Certification Authorities –Code Signing Baseline Requirements. For an initial "readiness assessment" where there has not been a minimum of two months of operations, disclosure to the public is not required. The CA, however, must have all other aspects of the disclosure completed such that the only action remaining is to activate the disclosure so that it can be accessed by users in accordance with the CS BRs.

# Principle 2: Code Signing Service Integrity

The Certification Authority (CA) maintains effective controls to provide reasonable assurance that:

- CS subscriber information was properly collected, authenticated (for the registration activities performed by the CA, Registration Authority (RA) and subcontractor) and verified;
- The integrity of keys and CS certificates it manages is established and protected throughout their life cycles.

#### **KEY GENERATION CEREMONIES**

#	Criterion	CS BR Ref	SSL BR Ref
1.1	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that Root CA and Subordinate CA Key Pairs used for CS Certificates are created in accordance with SSL Baseline Requirements Section 6.1.1.1.	17.8	6.1.1.1

#### **CERTIFICATE CONTENT AND PROFILE**

#### **Certificate Content and Profile**

#	Criterion	CS BR Ref	SSL BR Ref
2.1	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that CS certificates issued meet the minimum requirements for Certificate Content and Profile based on both the applicable requirements of Non-EV and EV Code Signing Certificates, including additional technical requirements as specifically established in section 9 of the CS BRs, including the following:  Issuer Common Name Field Issuer Domain Component Field Issuer Organization Name Field Subject Organization Name Field Subject Street Address Field Subject State or Province Field Subject State or Province Field Subject Alternative Name Extension Subject Common Name Field Subject Domain Component Field Subject Organizational Unit Field Other Subject Attributes	9, 9.1, 9.2	7.1.4.1, 3.2
2.2	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that EV CS certificates issued meet the minimum requirements for Subject Distinguished Name Fields certificates, including additional technical requirements as specifically established in section 9 of the CS BRs.	9.2.5	7.1.4.2. 2, 3.2
2.3	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that Certificates issued include the minimum requirements for the content of CS Certificates, including:	9.3.3, 9.3.4, 9.5, 9.6,	7.1

#	Criterion	CS BR Ref	SSL BR Ref
	Certificates issued include the minimum requirements for the content of CS Certificates, including:  Certificate Policy Identification requirements  Subscriber Public Key  Certificate Serial Number  Minimum Cryptographic Algorithm and Key Size Requirements  Certificate Extensions  as established in the CS BRs relating to:  CS Subscriber Certificates  CS Subscriber Certificates  CS Root CA Certificates  Timestamp Certificates  Timestamp Subordinate CA Certificates  Timestamp Root CA Certificates  Timestamp Tokens	App. A, App. B	
2.4	<ul> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that:</li> <li>Code Signing Certificates issued to a Subscriber are valid for a period not exceeding 39 months;</li> <li>Non-EV Code Signing Certificates issued to a Signing Service that fully complies with the CS BRs are valid for a period not exceeding 39 months<sup>4</sup>;</li> <li>Time Stamping Certificates issued to a Timestamp Authority that fully complies with the CS BRs are valid for a period not exceeding 135 months.</li> <li>Time Stamping Certificates issued to a Timestamp Authority are replaced with a new certificate and a new private key no later than every 15 months.</li> </ul>	9.4	N/A

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  EV Code Signing certificates issued to a Signing Service may be valid for up to 135 months. EV Code Signing certificates are specifically addressed in a WebTrust for CA – Extended Validation Code Signing engagement.

# **CS CERTIFICATE REQUEST and CODE SIGNING REQUEST REQUIREMENTS**

#	Criterion	CS BR Ref	SSL BR Ref
3.1	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that the CS Certificate Request or Signing Service Signing Request obtained is complete prior to the issuance of CS Certificates or signing of code, including the following in accordance with the CS BRs:  General requirements Request and certification Information requirements Subscriber key requirements	10.1,	N/A

# **Subscriber Agreements and Terms of Use**

#	Criterion	CS BR Ref	SSL BR Ref
3.2	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that the CA, prior to the issuance of a CS Certificate, obtains a Subscriber and/or Terms of Use agreement in accordance with the CS BRs. That agreement is:  • signed by the Applicant; and  • contains provisions imposing obligations and warranties on the Application relating to:  • the accuracy of information  • protection of Private Key  • use of the CS certificate  • compliance with industry standards  • prevention of misuse  • acceptance of the CS certificate  • reporting and revocation  • sharing of information  • termination of use of the CS certificate  • acknowledgement and acceptance.	10.3	9.6.3
3.3	<ul> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that Subscriber and/or Terms Agreements between itself and its customers (if operating as a Signing Service) and/or between its Signing Services and their customers: <ul> <li>are signed by an authorized Contract Signer;</li> <li>names the applicant and the individual Contract Signer;</li> <li>notification to the CA when it becomes aware that it has signed code containing malicious code or a serious vulnerability;</li> <li>notification to the CA and request revocation when it suspects it private key or private key activation data has been compromised or believed to be compromised; and</li> <li>contains provisions imposing obligations and warranties to their clients relating to:</li> <li>use of the signing service</li> <li>not knowingly submitting suspect code for signing; and</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	10.3.3	N/A

#	Criterion	CS BR Ref	SSL BR Ref
	<ul> <li>reporting signed code contained malware or a serious vulnerability</li> </ul>		

### **Subscriber and Subordinate CA Private Keys**

#	Criterion	CS BR Ref	SSL BR Ref
3.4	<ul> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that it does not archive the Subscriber or Subordinate CA Private Keys. Additionally:         <ul> <li>If the CA or any of its designated RAs generated the Private Key on behalf of the Subscriber or Subordinate CA, then the CA shall encrypt the Private Key for transport to the Subscriber or Subordinate CA.</li> <li>If the CA or any of its designated RAs become aware that a Subscriber's or Subordinate CA's Private Key has been communicated to an unauthorized person or an organization not affiliated with the Subscriber or Subordinate CA, then the CA shall revoke all certificates that include the Public Key corresponding to the communicated Private Key.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	16	6.1.2, 6.2.5, 6.2.6
	The CA only archives a Subscriber or Subordinate CA Private Key if it receives authorisation from the Subscriber or Subordinate CA.		

# INFORMATION VERIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

# **Verification of Organisational Applicants**

#	Criterion	CS BR Ref	SSL BR Ref
4.1	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that that prior to issuing a CS Certificate, it verifies the identity of Organisational Applicants in accordance with the CS BRs, including the following:  • Legal identity (including any DBA names to be included in the CS Certificate) in accordance with SSL BR Sections 3.2.2.1 and 3.2.2.2. The CA MUST also obtain, whenever available, a specific Registration Identifier assigned to the Applicant by a government agency in the jurisdiction of the Applicant's legal creation, existence, or recognition.  • Address in accordance with SSL BR Section 3.2.2.1  • Certificate Requester's authority to obtain a CSCertificate  • Certificate Requester's Identity  • Registration Identifier	11, 11.1, 11.1.1	3.2.2.1, 3.2.2.2, 3.2.2.5

# **Verification of Individual Applicants**

#	Criterion	CS BR Ref	SSL BR Ref
4.2	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that prior to issuing a CS Certificate, it verifies the identity of Individual Applicants in accordance with section 11.1.2 of the CS BRs, including the following:  Individual identity  Authenticity of identity	11.1.2	N/A

# **High Risk Applications**

#	Criterion	CS BR Ref	SSL BR Ref
4.7	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that the CA uses an internal database of all previously revoked Certificates (including those relating to Code Signatures on Suspect Code) and previously rejected certificate requests to identify subsequent suspicious certificate requests.	11.4, 11.5	4.1.1
4.8	<ul> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that the CA identifies high risk certificate requests, and conducts additional verification activities, including:         <ul> <li>Activities in accordance with Section 4.2.1 of the SSL Baseline Requirements</li> <li>Determining whether the entity is identified as requesting a Code Signing Certificate from a High-Risk Region of Concern</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	11.5	4.2.1
4.9	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that it processes High Risk Applications in accordance with Section 11.7 of the CS BRs.	11.7	N/A

#### Certificate Issuance by a Root CA

#	Criterion	CS BR Ref	SSL BR Ref
4.10	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that certificate issuance by the Root CA shall require an individual authorized by the CA (i.e. the CA system operator, system officer, or PKI administrator) to deliberately issue a direct command in order for the Root CA to perform a certificate signing operation.	12	4.3.1
4.11	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that Root CA Private Keys are not used to sign certificates or create other Signatures, except in the following cases:  Self-signed certificates to represent the Root CA itself; Certificates for Subordinate CAs and Cross certificates; Certificates for the infrastructure purposes (administrative role certificate, internal CA operational devices certificates); Certificates for OCSP Response verification; and Signature for OCSP Responses.	12	N/A

### **Other Matters**

#	Criterion	CS BR Ref	SSL BR Ref
4.12	<ul> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that:</li> <li>the set of information gathered to support a certificate request is reviewed for completeness and accuracy by an individual who did not gather such information; and</li> <li>any identified discrepancies are documented and resolved before certificate issuance</li> </ul>	11.8	N/A
4.13	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that, prior to using a data source, the CA evaluates the data source's accuracy and reliability in accordance with the requirements set forth in Section 3.2.2.7 of the SSL Baseline Requirements.	11.6	3.2.2.7

### CERTIFICATE REVOCATION AND STATUS CHECKING

#	Criterion	CS BR Ref	SSL BR Ref
5.1	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that a process is available 24x7 that the CA is able to accept and respond to revocation requests and related inquiries, and that the CA provides a process for Subscribers to request revocation of their own certificates.	13.1	4.9.3
5.2	<ul> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that it:</li> <li>has the capability to accept and acknowledge Certificate Problem Reports on a 24x7 basis;</li> <li>identifies high priority Certificate Problem Reports;</li> <li>begin investigation of Certificate Problem Reports within 24 hours:</li> <li>decides whether revocation or other appropriate action is warranted; and</li> <li>where appropriate, forwards such complaints to law enforcement.</li> </ul>	13.1.3, 13.1.4	4.9.3, 4.9.5, 4.10.2
5.3	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that Subscriber Certificates are revoked if any of the following events occurs:  1. An Application Software Supplier requests the revocation:  a. Within 2 business days of receiving this request, the CA either revokes the certificate or informs the Application Software Supplier that it is conducting an investigation;  b. If the CA chooses to conduct an investigation, it informs the Application Software Supplier whether or not it will revoke the certificate within 2 business days; and  c. If the CA determines that revocation will have an unreasonable impact on its customer, it proposes an alternative course of action to the Application Software Supplier, based on its investigation.  2. The Subscriber requests revocation:	13.1.5	N/A

#	Criterion	CS BR Ref	SSL BR Ref
	<ul> <li>a. Within 1 business day of receiving the revocation request from the Subscriber, the CA revokes the certificate; or</li> <li>b. Within 1 business day of being notified by the subscriber that the original certificate request was not authorised and does not grant retroactive authorisation, the CA revokes the certificate.</li> <li>3. A third party provides information that leads the CA to believe that the certificate is compromised or is being used for Suspect Code; or</li> <li>4. The CA otherwise decides that the certificate should be revoked.</li> </ul>		
5.4	<ul> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that for incidents involving malware:</li> <li>Within 1 business day of being made aware of the incident, the CA contacts the software publisher and requests a response within 72 hours.</li> <li>Within 72 hours of being made aware of the incident, the CA determines the volume of relying parties impacted.</li> <li>If a response is received from the publisher, the CA and publisher determine a 'reasonable date' for revocation</li> <li>If no response is received from the publisher, the CA notifies the publisher that the CA will revoke the certificate in 7 days unless it has documented evidence that this will cause significant impact to the general public.</li> </ul>	13.1.5.3	N/A
5.5	<ol> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that Subordinate CA Certificates are revoked within 7 days if any of the following events occurs:         <ol> <li>The Subordinate CA requests revocation in writing;</li> <li>The Subordinate CA notifies the Issuing CA that the original certificate request was not authorized and does not retroactively grant authorization;</li> <li>The Issuing CA obtains evidence that the Subordinate CA's Private Key corresponding to the Public Key in the Certificate suffered a Key Compromise or no longer complies with the requirements of SSL Baseline Requirements Sections 6.1.5 and 6.1.6,</li> <li>The Issuing CA obtains evidence that the Certificate was misused;</li> <li>The Issuing CA is made aware that the Certificate was not issued in accordance with or that Subordinate CA has not complied with these Baseline Requirements or the applicable Certificate Policy or Certification Practice Statement;</li> <li>The Issuing CA determines that any of the information appearing in the Certificate is inaccurate or misleading;</li> <li>The Issuing CA or Subordinate CA ceases operations for any reason and has not made arrangements for another CA to provide revocation support for the Certificate;</li> <li>The Issuing CA's or Subordinate CA's right to issue Certificates under these Requirements expires or is revoked or terminated, unless the Issuing CA has made arrangements to continue</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	13.1.6	4.9.1.2,6. 1.5

#	Criterion	CS BR Ref	SSL BR Ref
	<ul> <li>9. Revocation is required by the Issuing CA's Certificate Policy and/or Certification Practice Statement; or</li> <li>10. The technical content or format of the Certificate presents an unacceptable risk to Application Software Suppliers or Relying Parties</li> </ul>		
5.6	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that an online 24x7 Repository is provided that application software can use to automatically check the current status of all unexpired Certificates issued by the CA, and:  • for the status of Subscriber Code Signing Certificates:  ○ If the CA publishes a CRL, then the CA shall update and reissue CRLs at least once every seven (7) days, and the value of the nextUpdate field must not be more than ten (10) days beyond the value of the thisUpdate field; and  ○ The CA shall update information provided via an Online Certificate Status Protocol (OCSP) at least every four (4) days and OCSP responses must have a maximum expiration time of ten (10) days.  • for the status of Timestamp Certificates  ○ The CA shall update and reissue CRLs at least (i) once every twelve (12) months and (ii) within 24 hours after revoking a Timestamp Certificate, and the value of the nextUpdate field must not be more than twelve months beyond the value of the thisUpdate field; and  ○ The CA shall update information provided via an Online Certificate Status Protocol at least (i) every twelve (12) months and (ii) within 24 hours after revoking a Timestamp Certificate.  • The CA makes revocation information available through an OCSP capability using the GET method for Certificates issued in accordance with the CS BRs.	13.2.2	N/A
5.7	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that OCSP responses for revoked Subscriber Code Signing Certificates and revoked Timestamp Certificates are available for at least 10 years following the expiry date of the certificate, unless the certificate contained the Lifetime Signing OID.	13.2.1	N/A
5.8	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that if the CA issues CRLs, the serial numbers of revoked certificates remain in the CRL for at least 10 years following the expiry date of the certificate.	13.2.1	N/A

#	Criterion	CS BR Ref	SSL BR Ref
5.9	<ul> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that OCSP responses conform to RFC6960 and/or RFC5019, and are signed either:</li> <li>by the CA that issued the Certificates whose revocation status is being checked, or</li> <li>by an OCSP Responder whose Certificate is signed by the CA that issued the Certificate whose revocation status is being checked (the OCSP signing Certificate must contain an extension of type id-pkix- ocsp-nocheck, as defined by RFC6960).</li> </ul>	13.2.1	4.9.9
5.10	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that OCSP responses by CA's which have not been technically constrained in accordance with SSL Baseline Requirements Section 7.1.5 do not respond with a "good" status for Certificates that have not been issued.	13.2.1	4.9.10

### **EMPLOYEES AND THIRD PARTIES**

#	Criterion	CS BR Ref	SSL BR Ref
6.1	<ul> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that:</li> <li>the CA and its Signing Services provide all personnel performing information verification duties (Validation Specialists) with skillstraining that covers basic Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) knowledge, authentication and vetting policies and procedures (including the CA's Certificate Policy and/or Certification Practice Statement), common threats to the information verification process (including phishing and other social engineering tactics), and these Requirements.</li> <li>the CA and its Signing Services maintain records of such training and ensures that personnel entrusted with Validation Specialist duties maintain a skill level that enables them to perform such duties satisfactorily.</li> <li>the CA and its Signing Services document each Validation Specialist possesses the skills required by a task before allowing the Validation Specialist to perform that task.</li> <li>the CA and its Signing Services require all Validation Specialists to pass an examination provided by the CA on the information verification requirements outlined in the Baseline Requirements.</li> <li>all personnel in Trusted Roles maintain skill levels consistent with the CA's training and performance programs.</li> </ul>	14.1	5.3.3, 5.3.4
6.2	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that its' and its Signing Services' Delegated Third Parties meet the qualification requirements of Section 14 of the MCRS Guidelines.	14	N/A

#	Criterion	CS BR Ref	SSL BR Ref
6.3	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that the CA and its Signing Services verify that the Delegated Third Party's personnel involved in the issuance of a Certificate meet the training and skills requirements of CS BRs Section 14 and SSL Baseline Requirements Section 5.3.3, and the document retention and event logging requirements of CS BRs Section 15 and SSL Baseline Requirements Section 5.4.1.	14.2.1,	5.3.3, 5.4.1
6.4	For High Risk Certificate Requests, the CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that the CA and its Signing Services verify that the Delegated Third Party's processes to identify and further verify High Risk Certificate Requests meets the requirements of the CA's own processes for High Risk Certificate Requests.	14.2.1	N/A

#### **DATA RECORDS**

#	Criterion	CS BR Ref	SSL BR Ref
7.1	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that the CA and its Signing Services record details of the actions taken to process a certificate request and to issue a Certificate, including all information generated and documentation received in connection with the certificate request; the time and date; and the personnel involved.	15	5.4.1
7.2	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that the following events are recorded by itself and its Signing Services:  CA key lifecycle management events, including: key generation, backup, storage, recovery, archival, and destruction cryptographic device lifecycle management events.  CA and Subscriber Certificate lifecycle management events, including: Certificate Requests, renewal and re-key requests, and revocation all verification activities stipulated in the Baseline Requirements and the CA's Certification Practice Statement date, time, phone number used, persons spoken to, and end results of verification telephone calls acceptance and rejection of certificate requests issuance of Certificates generation of Certificate Revocation Lists (CRLs) and OCSP entries.  security events, including: successful and unsuccessful PKI system access attempts PKI and security system actions performed security profile changes system crashes, hardware failures, and other anomalies firewall and router activities entries to and exits from CA facility.	15	5.4.1

#	Criterion	CS BR Ref	SSL BR Ref
	<ul> <li>Log entries must include the following elements:</li> <li>Date and time of entry</li> <li>Identity of the person making the journal entry</li> <li>Description of entry</li> </ul>		
7.3	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that audit logs are retained by itself and its Signing Services for at least seven years, except as described in Criterion 7.5.	15	5.4.3
7.4	<ol> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that the following events for its Timestamp Authority are recorded:</li> <li>All data related to the creation of a timestamp, including all requests for a time-stamp, the connecting IP, and results of the timestamp,</li> <li>Physical or remote access to a timestamp server, including the time of the access and the identity of the individual accessing the server,</li> <li>History of the timestamp server configuration,</li> <li>Any attempt to delete or modify timestamp logs,</li> <li>Security events, including:         <ul> <li>Successful and unsuccessful PKI system access attempts;</li> <li>PKI and security system actions performed;</li> <li>Security profile changes;</li> <li>System crashes, hardware failures, and other anomalies;</li> <li>Firewall and router activities; and</li> <li>Entries to and exits from the CA facility</li> </ul> </li> <li>Revocation of a timestamp certificate,</li> <li>Major changes to the timestamp server's time,</li> <li>System startup and shutdown, and</li> <li>Equipment failures or malfunctions.</li> </ol>	15	N/A
7.5	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that all data related to the creation of a timestamp, including all requests for a timestamp, the connecting IP, and results of the timestamp are retained for at least 5 days.	15	N/A

### **AUDIT AND LEGAL**

#	Criterion	CS BR Ref	SSL BR Ref
8.1	<ul> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that:</li> <li>Independent audits of any function performed by a Delegated Third Party are performed</li> <li>The audit period of the Delegated Third Party does not exceed one year</li> <li>If the Delegated Third Party is found to be non-compliant with the CS BRs, the CA does not allow the Delegated Third Party to continue performing its functions.</li> </ul>	17.6	N/A

#	Criterion	CS BR Ref	SSL BR Ref
8.2	<ul> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that:</li> <li>applicable requirements of the Baseline Requirements for the Issuance and Management of Publicly-Trusted Code Signing Certificatesare included (directly or by reference) in contracts with Subordinate CAs, RAs, Signing Services and subcontractors that involve or relate to the issuance or maintenance of Certificates, and that they are contractually obligated to comply with the applicable requirements in the CS BRs and to perform them as required of the CA itself;</li> <li>the CA monitors and enforces compliance with the terms of the contracts; and</li> <li>the CA annually internally audits compliance with the CS BRs</li> </ul>	8.3, 14.2.2	N/A
8.3	<ul> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that it complies with:</li> <li>laws applicable to its business and the certificates it issues in each jurisdiction where it operates, and</li> <li>licensing requirements in each jurisdiction where it issues EV CS certificates.</li> </ul>	8.1	8.0

# TIMESTAMP AUTHORITY, SIGNING SERVICES, AND PRIVATE KEY PROTECTION

#	Criterion	CS BR Ref	SSL BR Ref
9.1	<ul> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that:</li> <li>It operates a RFC-3161-compliant Timestamp Authority that is available for use by customers of its Code Signing Certificates</li> <li>It recommends to Subscribers that they use the CA's Timestamping Authority to time-stamp signed code.</li> </ul>	16.1	N/A
9.2	<ul> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that:</li> <li>It protects its Timestamp Authority signing key using a process that is at least to FIPS 140-2 Level 3, Common Criteria EAL 4+ (ALC_FLR.2), or higher.</li> <li>Any changes to its Timestamp signing process are an auditable event.</li> <li>The Timestamp Authority ensures that clock synchronisation is maintained when a leap second occurs.</li> <li>The Timestamp Authority synchronises its timestamp server at least every 24 hours with a UTC(k) time source.</li> <li>The timestamp server is configured to automatically detect and report on clock drifts or jumps out of synchronisation with UTC.</li> <li>Clock adjustments of one second or greater are auditable events.</li> </ul>	16.1	N/A

#	Criterion	CS BR Ref	SSL BR Ref
9.3	<ul> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that it obtains a representation from its Subscribers that they will protect their Code Signing Private Keys using one of the following methods:</li> <li>1. A Trusted Platform Module (TPM) that generates and secures a key pair and that can document the Subscriber's private key protection through a TPM key attestation.</li> <li>2. A hardware crypto module with a unit design form factor certified as conforming to at least FIPS 140 Level 2, Common Criteria EAL 4+, or equivalent.</li> <li>3. Another type of hardware storage token with a unit design form factor of SD Card or USB token (not necessarily certified as conformant with FIPS 140 Level 2 or Common Criteria EAL 4+). The Subscriber MUST also warrant that it will keep the token physically separate from the device that hosts the code signing function until a signing session is begun.</li> </ul>	16.3	N/A
	And, the CA encourages Method 1 and 2 above and discourages Method 3 above.		
9.4	<ul> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that Signing Services:</li> <li>ensure that a Subscriber's private key is generated, stored, and used in a secure environment that has controls to prevent theft or misuse.</li> <li>enforce multi-factor authentication to access and authorize Code Signing and obtain a representation from the Subscriber that they will securely store the tokens required for multi-factor access.</li> <li>A system used to host a Signing Service is not used for web browsing.</li> <li>The Signing Service runs a regularly updated antivirus solution to scan the service for possible virus infection.</li> <li>The Signing Service complies with the Network Security Guidelines as a "Delegated Third Party".</li> </ul>	16.2	N/A
9.5	<ul> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that:</li> <li>CA private keys are protected in a system or device that has been validated as meeting at least FIPS 140[-2] level 3 or an appropriate Common Criteria Protection Profile or Security Target, EAL 4 (or higher), which includes requirements to protect the Private Key and other assets against known threats;</li> <li>CA private keys outside the validated system or device specified above are protected with physical security, encryption, or a combination of both in a manner that prevents disclosure of the private keys;</li> <li>CA private keys are encrypted with an algorithm and key-length that meets current strength requirements (2048 bit minimum);</li> <li>CA private keys are backed up, stored, and recovered only by personnel in trusted roles using, at least, dual control in a physically secured environment; and</li> <li>physical and logical safeguards to prevent unauthorized certificate issuance.</li> </ul>	16	5.2.2, 6.2, 6.2.7

# Principle 3: Extended Validation Code Signing Service Integrity

The Certification Authority (CA) maintains effective controls to provide reasonable assurance that:

- EV CS subscriber information was properly collected, authenticated (for the registration activities performed by the CA, Registration Authority (RA) and subcontractor) and verified;
- The integrity of keys and EV CS certificates it manages is established and protected throughout their life cycles.

#### **KEY GENERATION CEREMONIES**

#	Criterion	EV CS Ref <sup>5</sup>	CS BR Ref <sup>6</sup>	SSL BR Ref <sup>7</sup>
1.1	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that Root CA and Subordinate CA Key Pairs used for EV CS Certificates are created in accordance with SSL Baseline Requirements Section 6.1.1.1.	17.7	17.8	6.1.1.1
1.2	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that Root CA Key Pairs used for EV CS certificates created on or after 11 November 2006 are:  • Witnessed by the CA's Qualified Auditor; and • Receive a Unqualified Audit Opinion from the CA's Qualified Auditor opinion on the CA's root key and certificate generation process.	17.7	17.8	N/A

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Reference to the applicable section(s) of the Extended Validation Code Signing Guidelines for this criterion. The practitioner is directed to consider the referenced section(s) as part of assessing the CA's compliance with each criterion.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Reference to the applicable section(s) of the Baseline Requirements for the Issuance and Management of Publicly-Trusted Code Signing Certificates for this criterion. The practitioner is directed to consider the referenced section(s) as part of assessing the CA's compliance with each criterion.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Reference to the applicable section(s) of the SSL Baseline Requirements for this criterion. The practitioner is directed to consider the referenced section(s) as part of assessing the CA's compliance with each criterion.

### **EV CS SUBSCRIBER AND CERTIFICATE CONTENT PROFILES**

### **Subscriber Profile**

#	Criterion	EV CS Ref <sup>5</sup>	CS BR Ref <sup>6</sup>	SSL BR Ref <sup>7</sup>
2.1.1	<ul> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that it issues EV CS Certificates to Private Organizations as defined within the EV CS Guidelines that meet the following requirements: <ul> <li>the organization is a legally recognized entity whose existence was created or recognized by a by a filing with (or an act of) the Incorporating or Registration Agency in its Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Registration (e.g., by issuance of a certificate of incorporation registration number, etc.) or created or recognized by a Government Agency (e.g. under a charter, treaty, convention, or equivalent recognition instrument);</li> <li>the entity designated with the Incorporating or Registration Agency a Registered Agent, or a Registered Office (as required under the laws of the jurisdiction of Incorporation or Registration), or an equivalent facility;</li> <li>the entity is not designated as inactive, invalid, noncurrent or equivalent in records of the Incorporating Agency or Registration Agency;</li> <li>the entity has a verifiable physical existence and business presence;</li> <li>the entity's Jurisdiction of Incorporation, Registration, Charter, or License, and/or its Place of Business is not in a country where the CA is prohibited from doing business or issuing a certificate by the laws of the CA's jurisdiction; and</li> <li>the entity is not listed on a published government denial list or prohibited list (e.g., trade embargo) under the laws of the CA's jurisdiction.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	8.5.2	9	N/A
2.1.2	<ul> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that it issues EV CS Certificates to Government Entities as defined within the EV CS Guidelines that meet the following requirements:</li> <li>the entity's legal existence was established by the political subdivision in which the entity operates;</li> <li>the entity is not in a country where the CA is prohibited from doing business or issuing a certificate by the laws of the CA's jurisdiction; and</li> <li>the entity is not listed on a government denial list or prohibited list (e.g., trade embargo) under the laws of the CA's jurisdiction.</li> </ul>	8.5.3	9	N/A

#	Criterion	EV CS Ref <sup>5</sup>	CS BR Ref <sup>6</sup>	SSL BR Ref <sup>7</sup>
2.1.3	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that it issues EV CS Certificates to Business Entities as defined within the EV CS Guidelines that meet the following requirements:  • the entity is a legally recognized entity that filed certain forms with a Registration Agency in its Jurisdiction, the Registration Agency issued or approved the entity's charter, certificate, or license, and the entity's existence can be verified with that Registration Agency;  • the entity has a verifiable physical existence and business presence;  • at least one Principal Individual associated with the entity(owners, partners, managing members, directors or officers) is identified and validated by the CA;  • the identified Principal Individual (owners, partners, managing members, directors or officers) attests to the  • representations made in the Subscriber agreement;  • the CA verifies the entity's use of any assumed name, used to represent the entity pursuant to the requirements of Section 11.3;  • the entity and the identified Principal Individual (owners, partners, managing members, directors or officers) associated with the entity are not located in a country where the CA is prohibited from doing business or issuing a certificate by the laws of the CA's jurisdiction; and  • the entity and the identified Principal Individual (owners, partners, managing members, directors or officers) associated with the entity are not listed onany published government denial list or prohibited list (e.g., trade embargo) under the laws of the CA's jurisdiction.	8.5.4	9	N/A
2.1.4	<ul> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that it issues EV CS Certificates to Non-Commercial Entities as defined within the EV CS Guidelines that meet the following requirements:</li> <li>the Applicant is an International Organization Entity, created under a charter, treaty, convention or equivalent instrument that was signed by, or onbehalf of, more than one country's government and;</li> <li>the Applicant is not headquartered in any country where the CA is prohibited from doing business or issuing a certificate by the laws of the CA's jurisdiction; and</li> <li>the Applicant is not listed on any government denial list or prohibited list (e.g., trade embargo) under the laws of the CA's jurisdiction.</li> </ul>	8.5.5	9	N/A

### **Certificate Content and Profile**

#	Criterion	EV CS Ref <sup>5</sup>	CS BR Ref <sup>6</sup>	SSL BR Ref <sup>7</sup>
2.2.1	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that EV CS certificates issued meet the minimum requirements for Certificate Content and Profile, including additional technical requirements as specifically established in section 9 of the EV CS Guidelines, including the following:  Issuer Common Name Field Issuer Domain Component Field Issuer Organization Name Field Issuer Country Name Field Full legal organization name and if space is available the d/b/a name may also be disclosed Subject Alternative Name Extension Subject Common Name Field Subject Business Category Field Subject Business Category Field Subject Registration Number Field Subject Physical Address of Place of Business Field Other Subject Attributes	9, 9.1	9	7.1.4.1
2.2.2	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that EV CS Certificates issued include the minimum requirements for the content of EV CS Certificates, including:  Certificate Policy Identification requirements  Subscriber Public Key  Certificate Serial Number  Additional Technical Requirements for EV Code Signing Certificates  as established in the EV CS Guidelines relating to:	9.3, 9.5, 9.6, 9.7	9	6.1.1.3, 6.1.5, 7.1
	<ul><li>EV CS Subscriber Certificates</li><li>EV Subordinate CA Certificates</li></ul>			
2.2.3	<ul> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that:</li> <li>EV Code Signing Certificates issued to a Subscriberare valid for a period not exceeding 39 months;</li> <li>EV Code Signing Certificates issued to a Signing Service that fully complies with the EV CodeSigning Guidelines are valid for a period not exceeding 135 months; and</li> <li>EV Time Stamping Certificates issued to a Timestamp Authority that fully complies with the EV CodeSigning Guidelines are valid for a period not exceeding 135 months.</li> </ul>	9.4	9.4	N/A
2.2.4	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that the data that supports the EV CS Certificates is revalidated within the timeframes established in the EV CS Guidelines.	11.13	11.3	N/A

## **EV CS CERTIFICATE REQUEST REQUIREMENTS**

#	Criterion	EV CS Ref⁵	CS BR Ref <sup>6</sup>	SSL BR Ref <sup>7</sup>
3.1	<ul> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that the EV CS Certificate Request is:</li> <li>obtained and complete prior to the issuance of EV CS Certificates;</li> <li>signed by an authorized individual (Certificate Requester);</li> <li>approved by an authorized individual (Certificate Approver)</li> <li>properly certified as to being correct by the applicant; and</li> <li>contains the information specified in Section 10 of the EV CS Guidelines</li> </ul>	10	10.2	4.1.2

# **Subscriber Agreements and Terms of Use**

#	Criterion	EV CS Ref <sup>5</sup>	CS BR Ref <sup>6</sup>	SSL BR Ref <sup>7</sup>
3.2	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that the CA, prior to the issuance of a EV CS Certificate, obtains a Subscriber and/or Terms of Use agreement in accordance with the EV CS Guidelines. That agreement is:  • signed by an authorized contract signer;  • names the applicant and individual contract signer; and  • contains provisions imposing obligations andwarranties on the Application relating to:  o the accuracy of information  o protection of Private Key  o acceptance of the EV CS certificate  o use of the EV CS certificate  o reporting and revocation upon compromise  o termination of use of the EV CS certificate  o responsiveness  o acknowledgement and acceptance.	10.3	10.3	9.6.3
3.3	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that Subscriber and/or Terms Agreements between itself and its customers (if operating as a Signing Service) and/or between its Signing Services and their customers:  • are signed by an authorized Contract Signer;  • names the applicant and the individual Contract Signer;  • notification to the CA when it becomes aware that ithas signed code containing malicious code or a serious vulnerability;  • notification to the CA and request revocation when it suspects it private key or private key activation data has been compromised or believed to be compromised; and  • contains provisions imposing obligations andwarranties to their clients relating to:  • use of the EV Signature;	10.3	10.3	N/A

#	Criterion	EV CS Ref <sup>5</sup>	CS BR Ref <sup>6</sup>	SSL BR Ref <sup>7</sup>
	<ul> <li>not knowingly submitting suspect code for signing;</li> <li>and</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>reporting signed code contained malware or a serious vulnerability</li> </ul>			

# INFORMATION VERIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

## Verification of Applicant's Legal Existence and Identity

#	Criterion	EV CS Ref <sup>5</sup>	CS BR Ref <sup>6</sup>	SSL BR Ref <sup>7</sup>
4.1	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that the following information provided by the Applicant is verified directly by performing the steps established by the EV CS Guidelines:  For Private Organization Subjects:  legal existence and identity  legal existence and identity – assumed name  organization name  registration number  registered agent  relationship to the parent, subsidiary, or affiliate (if applicable)  For Government Entities:  legal existence  entity name	11.1, 11.2, 11.3, 11.11, 11.12	11.2	N/A
	<ul> <li>registration number</li> <li>For Business Entities:         <ul> <li>legal existence</li> <li>organization name</li> <li>registration number</li> <li>principal individual</li> </ul> </li> <li>relationship to the parent, subsidiary, or affiliate (if applicable)</li> <li>For Non-Commercial Entities:         <ul> <li>International Organization Entities</li> <li>legal entities</li> <li>entity name</li> <li>registration number</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			

# **Verification of Applicant**

#	Criterion	EV CS Ref⁵	CS BR Ref <sup>6</sup>	SSL BR Ref <sup>7</sup>
4.2	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that it verifies the physical address provided by Applicant is an address where Applicant or a Parent /Subsidiary company conducts business operations (e.g., not a mail drop or P.O. box, or 'care of' C/O address, such as an address of an agent of the Organization), and is the address of Applicant's Place of Business using a method of verification established by the EV CS Guidelines.	11.4	11.2	N/A
4.3	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that it verifies a telephone number, fax number, email address, or postal delivery address as a Verified Method of Communication with the Applicant by performing the steps set out in the EV CS Guidelines.	11.4	11.2	N/A
4.4	<ul> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that it verifies the Applicant has the ability to engage in business by verifying the Applicant's, or Affiliate/Parent/Subsidiary Company's, operational existence by:         <ul> <li>verifying that the Applicant, Affiliate, Parent Company, or Subsidiary Company has been in existence for atleast three years, as indicated by the records of an Incorporating Agency or Registration Agency;</li> <li>verifying that the Applicant, Affiliate, Parent Company, or Subsidiary Company is listed in either a current QIIS or QTIS;</li> <li>verifying that the Applicant, Affiliate, Parent Company, or Subsidiary Company has an active current Demand Deposit Account with a Regulated Financial Institution by receiving authenticated documentation of the Applicant's, Affiliate's, Parent Company's, or Subsidiary Company's Demand Deposit Account directly from a Regulated Financial Institution; or</li> <li>relying on a Verified Legal Opinion or a Verified Accountant Letter to the effect that the Applicanthas an active current Demand Deposit Account with a Regulated Financial Institution.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	11.5	11.1.1	N/A
4.5	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable that EV CS Certificates do not contain a domain name.	11.6	11.2	N/A
4.6	Reference reserved for future use	N/A	N/A	N/A

### **Verification of Other**

#	Criterion	EV CS Ref <sup>5</sup>	CS BR Ref <sup>6</sup>	SSL BR Ref <sup>7</sup>
4.7	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that the CA uses an internal database of all previously revoked Certificates and previously rejected certificate requests to identify subsequent suspicious certificate requests.	11.11	11.5	4.1.1
4.8	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that it identifies "High Risk Applicants" and undertakes additional precautions as are reasonably necessary to ensure that such Applicants are properly verified using a verification method below:  • the CA may identify high risk requests by checking appropriate lists of organization names that are most commonly targeted in phishing and other fraudulent schemes, and by automatically flagging certificate requests that match these lists for further scrutiny before issuance; and  • the CA shall use information identified by the CA's highrisk criteria to flag suspicious certificate requests. The CA shall follow a documented procedure for performing additional verification of any certificate request flagged as suspicious or high risk.	11.11	11.5	4.2.1
4.9	<ul> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that no EV CS Certificate is issued if the Applicant, the Contract Signer, the Certificate Approver or the Applicant's Jurisdiction of Incorporation, Registration, or place of Business is:</li> <li>on any government denied list, list of prohibited persons, or other list that prohibits doing business with such organization or person under the laws of the country of the CA's jurisdiction(s) of operation; or</li> <li>has its Jurisdiction of Incorporation, or Registration, or Place of Business in any country with which the laws of the CA's jurisdiction prohibit doing business.</li> </ul>	11.11	11.5 9.2	N/A

## **Verification of Contract Signer and Approver**

# C	Criterion	EV CS Ref <sup>5</sup>	CS BR Ref <sup>6</sup>	SSL BR Ref <sup>7</sup>
it	the CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that a verifies, using a method of verification established by the EV CS Guidelines:  the name and title of the Contract Signer and the Certificate Approver, as applicable and verifying that the Contract Signer and the Certificate Approver are agents representing the Applicant; through a source other than the Contract Signer, that the Contract Signer is expressly authorized by the Applicant to enter into the Subscriber Agreement (and any other relevant contractual obligations) on behalf of the Applicant, including a contract that designates one or more Certificate Approvers on behalf of Applicant ("Signing Service"); through a source other than the Certificate Approver, that the Certificate Approver is expressly authorized by the Applicant to do the following, as of the date of the EV CS Certificate Request ("EV Authority") to:  submit, and if applicable authorize a Certificate Requester to submit, the EV CS Certificate Request on behalf of the Applicant; and  provide, and if applicable authorize a Certificate Requester to provide, the information requested from the Applicant by the CA for issuance of the EV CS Certificate; and  approve EV CS Certificate Requests submitted by a Certificate Requester.	11.7	11.2	N/A

## **Verification of EV CS Certificate Requests**

#	Criterion	EV CS Ref <sup>5</sup>	CS BR Ref <sup>6</sup>	SSL BR Ref <sup>7</sup>
4.11	<ul> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance, using a method of verification established in the EV CS Guidelines that:</li> <li>subscriber Agreements are signed by an authorized Contract signer;</li> <li>the EV CS Certificate Request is signed by the Certificate Requester submitting the document;</li> <li>if the Certificate requester is not also an authorized Certificate Approver, an authorized Certificate Approver independently approves the EV CS Certificate Request unless pre-authorized; and</li> <li>Code Signatures have been properly authenticated.</li> </ul>	11.8, 11.9	11.2	N/A

# C	riterion	EV CS Ref <sup>5</sup>	CS BR Ref <sup>6</sup>	SSL BR Ref <sup>7</sup>
in Co Co	he CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that a cases where an EV CS Certificate Request is submitted by a sertificate Requester, before it issues the requested EV CS sertificate, it verifies that an authorized Certificate Approver seviewed and approved the EV CS Certificate Request.	11.9	11.2	N/A
4.13 TI it us	he CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that recrifies information sources prior to placing reliance on them sing a verification procedure set out in the EV CS Guidelines. he verification includes:  with respect to legal opinions;  the independent status of the author,  the basis of the opinion, and  authenticity.  with respect to accountants letters;  the status of the author,  the basis of the opinion, and  authenticity.  with respect to face-to-face vetting documents;  qualification of third-party validator,  document chain of custody, and  verification of attestation.  with respect to independent confirmation from applicant;  the request is initiated by the CA requesting verification of particular facts,  the request is directed to a Confirming Person at the Applicant or at the Applicant's Registered Agent or Registered Office using one of the acceptable methods stated by the CA/Browser Forum.  the Confirming Person confirms the fact or issue.  with respect to Qualified IndependentInformation Sources (QIIS);  the database used is a QIIS as defined by the EV SSL Guidelines 11.11.5).  with respect to Qualified GovernmentInformation Sources (QGIS); and  the database used is a QGIS as defined by the EV SSL Guidelines 11.11.6.  with respect to Qualified Government TaxInformation Source (QGTIS)  a Qualified Governmental information source is used that specifically contains tax information relating to Private Organizations, Business Entities or Individuals as defined by the EV SSL Guidelines 11.11.7.	11.10, 11.13	N/A	N/A

## **Validation for Existing Subscribers**

#	Criterion	EV CS Ref⁵	CS BR Ref <sup>6</sup>	SSL BR Ref <sup>7</sup>
4.14	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that in conjunction with an EV CS Certificate Request placed by an Applicant who is already a customer of the CA, the CA performs all authentication and verification tasks required by these Guidelines to ensure that the request is properly authorized by the Applicant and that the information in the EV CS Certificate will still be accurate and valid, subject to any exceptions as outlined in EV SSL Guidelines Section 11.14.1 and re-issuance requests in EV SSL Guidelines Section 11.14.2.	11.13	11.3	N/A

# **Segregation of Duties**

#	Criterion	EV CS Ref <sup>5</sup>	CS BR Ref <sup>6</sup>	SSL BR Ref <sup>7</sup>
4.15	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that ensure the system used to process and approve EV CS Certificate Requests requires actions by at least two trusted persons before the EV CS Certificate is created.	16	11.8	N/A
4.16	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that there is a separation of duties such that no one person can both validate and authorise the issuance of an EV CS Certificate at both the CA and Signing Services.	14.1	11.8	N/A

### **Other Matters**

#	Criterion	EV CS Ref <sup>5</sup>	CS BR Ref <sup>6</sup>	SSL BR Ref <sup>7</sup>
4.19	<ul> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that except for certificate requests approved by an Enterprise Registration Authority ("RA"):</li> <li>the set of information gathered to support a certificate request is reviewed for completeness and accuracy by an individual who did not gather such information;</li> <li>any identified discrepancies are documented and resolved before certificate issuance; and</li> <li>in the case where some or all of the documentation used to support the application is in a language other than the CA's normal operating language, the Final Cross-Correlation and Due Diligence is performed by employees under its control having appropriate training, experience, and judgment in confirming organizational identification and authorization and fulfilling all qualification requirements contained in Section 14.1. When employees do not possess the language</li> </ul>	11.12, 14.1, 17	N/A	N/A

#	Criterion	EV CS Ref <sup>5</sup>	CS BR Ref <sup>6</sup>	SSL BR Ref <sup>7</sup>
	skills necessary to perform the Final Cross-Correlation and Due Diligence a CA may:  o rely on the translations by a Translator or, if an RA is used, the CA must review the work completed by the RA and determine that all requirements have been met; and  o The CA may rely on the RA to perform the Final Cross-Correlation and Due Diligence, provided that the RA complies with its requirements and is subjected to the Audit Requirements of Sections 17.5 and 17.6 as			
	specified in the EVSSL Guidelines.			

#### **CERTIFICATE REVOCATION AND STATUS CHECKING**

#	Criterion	EV CS Ref⁵	CS BR Ref <sup>6</sup>	SSL BR Ref <sup>7</sup>
5.1	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that a process is available 24x7 that the CA is able to accept and respond to revocation requests and related inquiries, and that the CA provides a process for Subscribers to request revocation of their own certificates.	13	13.1	4.9.3
5.2	<ul> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that it:</li> <li>has the capability to accept and acknowledge Certificate Problem Reports on a 24x7 basis;</li> <li>identifies high priority Certificate Problem Reports;</li> <li>begin investigation of Certificate Problem Reports within 24 hours:</li> <li>decides whether revocation or other appropriate action is warranted; and</li> <li>where appropriate, forwards such complaints to law enforcement</li> </ul>	13	13.1	4.9.3, 4.9.5, 4.10.2
5.3	<ol> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that Subscriber Certificates are revoked within 24 hours if any of the following events occurs:</li> <li>The Subscriber requests in writing that the CA revoke the Certificate;</li> <li>The Subscriber notifies the CA that the original certificate request was not authorized and does not retroactively grant authorization;</li> <li>The CA obtains evidence that the Subscriber's Private Key corresponding to the Public Key in the Certificate suffered a Key Compromise or no longer complies with the requirements of SSL Baseline Requirements Sections 6.1.5 and 6.1.6;</li> <li>The CA obtains evidence that the Certificate was misused;</li> </ol>	13	13.1	4.9.1.2, 6.1.5, 6.1.6

#	Criterion	EV CS Ref <sup>5</sup>	CS BR Ref <sup>6</sup>	SSL BR Ref <sup>7</sup>
	<ul> <li>5. The CA is made aware that a Subscriber has violated one or more of its material obligations under the Subscriber Agreement or Terms of Use Agreement;</li> <li>6. The CA is made aware of any circumstance indicating that use of a Fully-Qualified Domain Name or IP address in the</li> </ul>			
	Certificate is no longer legally permitted (e.g. a court or arbitrator has revoked a Domain Name Registrant's right to use the Domain Name, a relevant licensing or services agreement between the Domain Name Registrant and the Applicant has terminated, or the Domain Name Registrant has failed to renew the Domain Name);			
	<ul> <li>7. The CA is made aware that a Wildcard Certificate has been used to authenticate a fraudulently misleading subordinate Fully-Qualified Domain Name;</li> <li>8. The CA is made aware of a material change in the</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>information contained in the Certificate;</li> <li>The CA is made aware that the Certificate was not issued in accordance with these Requirements or the CA's Certificate Policy or Certification Practice Statement;</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>10. The CA determines that any of the information appearing in the Certificate is inaccurate or misleading;</li> <li>11. The CA ceases operations for any reason and has not made arrangements for another CA to provide revocation</li> </ul>			
	support for the Certificate;  12. The CA's right to issue Certificates under these Requirements expires or is revoked or terminated, unless the CA has made arrangements to continue maintaining the CRL/OCSP Repository;			
	13. The CA is made aware of a possible compromise of the Private Key of the Subordinate CA used for issuing the Certificate;			
	14. Revocation is required by the CA's Certificate Policy and/or Certification Practice Statement; or			
	15. The technical content or format of the Certificate presents an unacceptable risk to Application Software Suppliers or Relying Parties (e.g. the CA/Browser Forum might determine that a deprecated			
	cryptographic/signature algorithm or key size presents an unacceptable risk and that such Certificates should be revoked and replaced by CAs within a given period of time).			
	EV Code Signing Specific Requirements:			
	16. The CA obtains evidence or is made aware that the subscriber has Suspect Code in their signed code.			
5.4	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that Subordinate CA Certificates are revoked within 7 days if any of the following events occurs:  1. The Subordinate CA requests revocation in writing;	13	13.1	4.9.1.2, 6.1.5, 6.1.6

#	Criterion	EV CS Ref <sup>5</sup>	CS BR Ref <sup>6</sup>	SSL BR Ref <sup>7</sup>
	<ol> <li>The Subordinate CA notifies the Issuing CA that the original certificate request was not authorized and does not retroactively grant authorization;</li> <li>The Issuing CA obtains evidence that the Subordinate CA's Private Key corresponding to the Public Key in the Certificate suffered a Key Compromise or no longer complies with the requirements of SSL Baseline Requirements Sections 6.1.5 and 6.1.6,</li> <li>The Issuing CA obtains evidence that the Certificate was misused;</li> <li>The Issuing CA is made aware that the Certificate was not issued in accordance with or that Subordinate CA has not complied with these Baseline Requirements or the applicable Certificate Policy or Certification Practice Statement;</li> <li>The Issuing CA determines that any of the information appearing in the Certificate is inaccurate or misleading;</li> <li>The Issuing CA or Subordinate CA ceases operations for any reason and has not made arrangements for another CA to provide revocation support for the Certificate;</li> <li>The Issuing CA's or Subordinate CA's right to issue Certificates under these Requirements expires or is revoked or terminated, unless the Issuing CA has made arrangements to continue maintaining the CRL/OCSP Repository;</li> <li>Revocation is required by the Issuing CA's Certificate Policy and/or Certification Practice Statement; or</li> <li>The technical content or format of the Certificate presents an unacceptable risk to Application Software Suppliers or Relying Parties (e.g. the CA/Browser Forum might determine that a deprecated cryptographic/signature algorithm or key size presents an unacceptable risk.</li> </ol>			
5.5	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that the CA:  • makes revocation information available via the cRLDistributionPoints and/or authorityInformationAccess certificate extensions for Subordinate CA and Subscriber Certificates in accordance with the SSL Baseline Requirements Section 7.1.2; and  • for high-traffic FQDNs, distributes its OCSP responses in accordance with SSL Baseline Requirements.	13	13.2 Appendix B	7.1.2, 4.9.11
5.6	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that an online 24x7 Repository is provided that application software can use to automatically check the current status of all unexpired Certificates issued by the CA, and:  • for the status of Subscriber Certificates:  ○ If the CA publishes a CRL, then the CA shall update and reissue CRLs at least once every seven (7) days,	13	13.2 Appendix B	4.10.2, 4.9.7, 4.9.10

#	Criterion	EV CS Ref <sup>5</sup>	CS BR Ref <sup>6</sup>	SSL BR Ref <sup>7</sup>
	and the value of the nextUpdate field must not be more than ten (10) days beyond the value of the this Update field; and  The CA shall update information provided via an Online Certificate Status Protocol (OCSP) at least every four (4) days and OCSP responses must have a maximum expiration time of ten (10) days.  for the status of subordinate CA Certificates  The CA shall update and reissue CRLs at least (i) once every twelve (12) months and (ii) within 24 hours after revoking a Subordinate CA Certificate, and the value of the nextUpdate field must not be more than twelve months beyond the value of the thisUpdate field; and  The CA shall update information provided via an Online Certificate Status Protocol at least (i) every twelve (12) months and (ii) within 24 hours after revoking a Subordinate CA Certificate.  The CA makes revocation information available through an OCSP capability using the GET method for Certificates issued in accordance with the SSL Baseline Requirements.			
5.7	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that the CA operates and maintains its CRL and OCSP capability with resources sufficient to provide a response time of ten seconds or less under normal operating conditions.	13	13.2	4.10.2
5.8	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that the CA does not remove revocation entries on a CRL or OCSP Response until no earlier than one year after the Expiry Date of the revoked Certificate.	13	13.2	4.10.1
5.9	<ul> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that OCSP responses conform to RFC6960 and/or RFC5019, and are signed either:</li> <li>by the CA that issued the Certificates whose revocation status is being checked, or</li> <li>by an OCSP Responder whose Certificate is signed by the CA that issued the Certificate whose revocation status is being checked (the OCSP signing Certificate must contain an extension of type id-pkix-ocsp-nocheck, as defined by RFC6960).</li> </ul>	13	13.2	4.9.9
5.10	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that OCSP responses by CA's which have not been technically constrained in accordance with SSL Baseline Requirements Section 7.1.5 do not respond with a "good" status for Certificates that have not been issued.	13	13.2	4.9.10

#	Criterion	EV CS Ref <sup>5</sup>	CS BR Ref <sup>6</sup>	SSL BR Ref <sup>7</sup>
5.11	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that CRLs for an EV CS Certificate chain can be downloaded in no more than three (3) seconds over an analogue telephone line under normal network conditions.	13	N/A	N/A

#### **EMPLOYEES AND THIRD PARTIES**

#	Criterion	EV CS Ref⁵	CS BR Ref <sup>6</sup>	SSL BR Ref <sup>7</sup>
6.1	<ul> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that with respect to employees, agents, or independent contractors engaged in the EV process, the CA and its Signing Services:</li> <li>verifies the identity of each person;</li> <li>performs background checks of such person to confirm employment, checks personal references, confirms the highest or most relevant educational degree obtained and searches criminal records where allowed in the jurisdiction where the person will be employed; and</li> <li>for employees at the time of the adoption of the EV CS Guidelines by the CA and its Signing Services, verifies the identity and perform background checks within three months of the date of the adoption of the EV CS Guidelines.</li> </ul>	14.1	N/A	N/A
6.2	<ul> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that:</li> <li>the CA and its Signing Services provide all personnel performing information verification duties (Validation Specialists) with skills-training that covers basic Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) knowledge, authentication and vetting policies and procedures (including the CA's Certificate Policy and/or Certification Practice Statement), common threats to the information verification process (including phishing and othersocial engineering tactics), and these Requirements;</li> <li>the CA and its Signing Services maintain records of such training and ensures that personnel entrusted with Validation Specialist duties maintain a skill level that enables them to perform such duties satisfactorily;</li> <li>the CA and its Signing Services document each Validation Specialist possesses the skills required by a task before allowing the Validation Specialist to perform that task;</li> <li>the CA and its Signing Services require all Validation Specialists to pass an examination provided by the CA on the information verification requirements outlined in the Baseline Requirements; and</li> <li>all personnel in Trusted Roles maintain skill levels consistent with the CA's training and performance programs.</li> </ul>	14.1	14	5.3.3, 5.3.4

#	Criterion	EV CS Ref <sup>5</sup>	CS BR Ref <sup>6</sup>	SSL BR Ref <sup>7</sup>
6.3	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that its' and its Signing Services' Delegated Third Parties meet the qualification requirements of Section 14.1 of the EV CS Guidelines.	14.1	14	N/A
6.4	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that the CA and its Signing Services verify that the Delegated Third Party's personnel involved in the issuance of a Certificate meet the training and skills requirements of Section 14 (SSL Baseline 5.3.3) and the document retention and event logging requirements of Section 15 (SSL Baseline 5.4.1)	14.2.1, 15	14	5.3.7, 5.3.3, 5.4.1
6.5	For High Risk Certificate Requests, the CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that the CA and its Signing Services verify that the Delegated Third Party's processes to identify and further verify High Risk Certificate Requests meets the requirements of the CA's own processes for High Risk Certificate Requests.	14.1	11.5	4.2.1
6.6	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that the Subject of a specified valid EV Code Signing Certificate is not permitted to perform the RA function and authorize the CA to issue additional EV Code Signing Certificates.	14.2.2	N/A	N/A

### **DATA RECORDS**

#	Criterion	EV CS Ref <sup>5</sup>	CS BR Ref <sup>6</sup>	SSL BR Ref <sup>7</sup>
7.1	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that the CA and its Signing Services record details of the actions taken to process a certificate request and to issue a Certificate, including all information generated and documentation received in connection with the certificate request; the time and date; and the personnel involved.	15	15	5.4.1
7.2	<ul> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that the following events are recorded by itself and its Signing Services:</li> <li>CA key lifecycle management events, including:         <ul> <li>key generation, backup, storage, recovery, archival, and destruction</li> <li>cryptographic device lifecycle management events.</li> </ul> </li> <li>CA and Subscriber Certificate lifecycle management events, including:         <ul> <li>Certificate Requests, renewal and re-key requests, and revocation</li> <li>all verification activities stipulated in the Baseline Requirements and the CA's Certification Practice Statement</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	15	15	5.4.1

#	Criterion	EV CS Ref <sup>5</sup>	CS BR Ref <sup>6</sup>	SSL BR Ref <sup>7</sup>
	<ul> <li>date, time, phone number used, persons spoken to, and end results of verification telephone calls</li> <li>acceptance and rejection of certificate requests</li> <li>issuance of Certificates</li> <li>generation of Certificate Revocation Lists (CRLs) and OCSP entries.</li> <li>security events, including:</li> <li>successful and unsuccessful PKI system access attempts</li> <li>PKI and security system actions performed</li> <li>security profile changes</li> <li>system crashes, hardware failures, and other anomalies</li> <li>firewall and router activities</li> <li>entries to and exits from CA facility.</li> <li>Log entries must include the following elements:</li> <li>Date and time of entry</li> <li>Identity of the person making the journal entry</li> <li>Description of entry</li> </ul>			
7.3	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that audit logs are retained by itself and its Signing Services for the period of time specified in Section 15.	15	15	5.4.3
7.4	The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that all documentation relating to certificate requests and the verification thereof, and all Certificates and revocation thereof, is retained for at the amount of time as required by Section 15.	15	15	5.5.2

## **AUDIT AND LEGAL**

#	Criterion	EV CS Ref <sup>5</sup>	CS BR Ref <sup>6</sup>	SSL BR Ref <sup>7</sup>
8.1	<ul> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that:</li> <li>it performs ongoing self-audits on at least a quarterly basis against a randomly selected sample of at least three percent (3%) of the EV CS Certificates issued during the period commencing immediately after the previous self-assessment samples were taken. For all EV CS certificates where the final cross-correlation and due diligence requirements of Section 8 are performed by a Delegated Third Party, the sample size is increased to at least six percent (6%); and</li> </ul>	17.5	17.5	N/A
8.2	<ul> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that:</li> <li>applicable requirements of the CA/Browser Forum         Guidelines for Extended Validation Certificates are included (directly or by reference) in contracts with subordinate CAs, RAs, Enterprise RAs, and subcontractors that involve or relate to the issuance or maintenance of EV CS Certificates,     </li> </ul>	8.3, 14.2, 14.2.3	8.3	N/A

#	Criterion	EV CS Ref <sup>5</sup>	CS BR Ref <sup>6</sup>	SSL BR Ref <sup>7</sup>
	<ul> <li>and that they are contractually obligated to comply with the applicable requirements in the EV CS Guidelines and to perform them as required of the CA itself;</li> <li>the CA monitors and enforces compliance with the terms of the contracts; and</li> <li>the CA annually internally audits compliance with the EV CS Guidelines.</li> </ul>			
8.3	<ul> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that it complies with:</li> <li>laws applicable to its business and the certificates it issues in each jurisdiction where it operates, and</li> <li>licensing requirements in each jurisdiction where it issues EV CS certificates.</li> </ul>	8.1	8.1	8.0
8.4	<ul> <li>The CA maintains controls and procedures to provide reasonable assurance that:</li> <li>the CA and Root CA maintain the minimum levels of Commercial General Liability Insurance (occurrence form) and Professional Liability/Errors &amp; Omissions insurance as established by the EV CS Guidelines; and</li> <li>the providers of the Insurance coverage meet the ratings qualifications established under the EV CS Guidelines; or</li> <li>If the CA and/or its root CA self-insures for liabilities, the CA and/or its root CA maintains the minimum liquid asset size requirement established in the EV CS Guidelines.</li> </ul>	8.4	8.5	N/A

#### **EV TIMESTAMP AUTHORITY**

#	Criterion	EV CS Ref⁵	CS BR Ref <sup>6</sup>	SSL BR Ref <sup>7</sup>
9.1	The CA, if operating an EV Timestamp Authority, maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that:  • the private key is protected in a cryptographic module validated to FIPS 140-2 Level 2 or greater; and  • the time is synchronized with a UTC time source recognized by the International Bureau of Weights and Measures	16	16.1	N/A

#	Criterion	EV CS Ref⁵	CS BR Ref <sup>6</sup>	SSL BR Ref <sup>7</sup>
9.2	<ul> <li>The CA maintains controls to provide reasonable assurance that:</li> <li>the EV Timestamp Authority's private key is protected in a cryptographic module validated to at FIPS 140-2 Level 2 or greater;</li> <li>the EV Timestamp Authority's time is synchronized with a UTC time source recognized by the International Bureau of Weights and Measures;</li> <li>the Signing Service's private key is protected in a cryptographic module validated to FIPS 140-2 Level 2 or greater; and</li> <li>the Subscriber's private key is generated, stored and used in a cryptographic module that meets or exceeds the requirements of FIPS 140-2 level 2.</li> </ul>	16	16.2	N/A

# Appendix A: CA/Browser Forum Documents

These Criteria are also based on the following CA/Brower Forum Documents:

Document Name	Version	Effective Date
Guidelines for the Issuance and Management of Extended Validation Code Signing Certificates	1.4	5 July 2016
Guidelines for the Issuance and Management of Extended Validation SSL Certificates	1.6.2	17 March 2017
Baseline Requirements for the Issuance and Management of Publicly- Trusted Certificates	1.4.9	11 July 2017
Baseline Requirements for the Issuance and Management of Publicly-Trusted Code Signing Certificates	2.0	02 September 2020

Copies of these documents are available on the CA/Browser Forum's website at: <a href="https://cabforum.org/documents">https://cabforum.org/documents</a>

# Appendix B: Sections of the CS BRs not subject to assurance

Sections of the CS BRs which contain no content or the phrase 'No Stipulation' were not considered for audit. Additionally, the following items are not subject to assurance:

Ref	Topic	Reasons for exclusion
1	Scope	Information only
2	Purpose	Information only
3	References	Information only
4	Definitions	The practitioner is directed to consider these definitions when interpreting the EV CS Guidelines and these audit criteria.
5	Abbreviations and Acronyms	Information only
6	Conventions	Information only
7	Certificate Warranties and Representations	Legal item
16	Data Security	References to the CA/Brower Forum's Network Security Requirements are addressed in WebTrust Principles and Criteria – SSL Baseline with Network Security, Princip le 4, and are not subject to assurance.
17 (exce pt 17.5, 17.7)	Audit	Information only
18	Liability and Indemnification	Legal item

# Appendix C: Unused

This section is currently unused.

# Appendix D: Effective date differences

# CS BRs

No differences

# **SSL Baseline Requirements**

No differences